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## LECTURE 1

## Introduction to the topic

Throughout the text $X$ denotes a Cantor space. When convenient we shall take a concrete realization of $X$, e.g., $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ or $2^{\mathbb{Z}}$. The group of homeomorphisms of $X$ is denoted by $\operatorname{Homeo}(X)$. The natural numbers $\mathbb{N}$ start with 0 .

## 1. Minimal homeomorphisms

Definition 1.1. A homeomorphism $\phi \in \operatorname{Homeo}(X)$ is called periodic, if every orbit of $\phi$ is finite. It is called aperiodic, if all its orbits are infinite. We say that $\phi$ has period $n$, if every orbit of $\phi$ has precisely $n$ points; in this case $\phi^{n}=$ id. A homeomorphism $\phi \in \operatorname{Homeo}(X)$ is said to be minimal if every its orbit is dense: $\overline{\operatorname{Orb}_{\phi}(x)}=X$ for all $x \in X$. Note that minimal homeomorphisms are always aperiodic.

Proposition 1.2. For a homeomorphism $\phi \in \operatorname{Homeo}(X)$ the following conditions are equivalent:
(i) $\phi$ is minimal.
(ii) Every forward orbit of $\phi$ is dense: ${\left.\overline{\left\{\phi^{n}\right.}(x)\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}}=X$ for all $x \in X$.
(iii) There are no nontrivial closed invariant subspaces of $X$ : if $F \subseteq X$ is closed and $\phi(F)=F$, then either $F=\varnothing$ or $F=X$.
(iv) For any non-empty clopen $U \subseteq X$ there is $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $X=\bigcup_{i=0}^{N} \phi^{i}(U)$.

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) Let $F \subseteq X$ be a closed non-empty invariant subset with $x \in F$. By invariance $\operatorname{Orb}_{\phi}(x) \subseteq F$, hence $X=\overline{\operatorname{Orb}_{\phi}(x)} \subseteq F$.
(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) Pick $x \in X$ and let $R={\overline{\left\{\phi^{n}(x)\right\}}}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$; note that $\phi(R) \subseteq R$. If $F=\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \phi^{n}(R)$, then

$$
\phi(F)=\bigcap_{n \geq 1} \phi^{n}(R)=F
$$

and therefore $F=X$, whence $R=X$.
(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv) If $U$ is open and non-empty, then $F=\sim \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \phi^{n}(U)$ is closed, invariant and $F \cap U=\varnothing$, hence $F=\varnothing$. Therefore $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \phi^{n}(U)=X$, which by compactness implies $\bigcup_{|n| \leq M} \phi^{n}(U)=X$ for some $M$. Hence

$$
X=\phi^{M}(X)=\bigcup_{n=0}^{2 M} \phi^{n}(U)
$$

(iv) $\Rightarrow$ (i) For any $x \in X$ the set $\sim \overline{\operatorname{Orb}_{\phi}(x)}$ is open, invariant, and does not contain $x$, hence must be empty.

Example 1.3. The odometer $\sigma: 2^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ is a homeomorphism defined as follows. For $x \in 2^{\mathbb{N}} \backslash\{\mathbf{1}\}$, where $\mathbf{1}$ is the constant sequence of ones, let $n$ be the smallest integer such that $x(n)=0$. The image $\sigma(x)$ is then defined by

$$
\sigma(x)(i)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } i<n \\ 1 & \text { if } i=n \\ x(i) & \text { if } i>n\end{cases}
$$

Set $\sigma(\mathbf{1})=\mathbf{0}$. For examples if $x=1110{ }^{\wedge} y$, then $\sigma(x)=000 \wedge^{\frown} y$.
Exercise 1.4. Check that $\sigma: 2^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ is a homeomorphism. Show that it is minimal.
Example 1.5. Another important example is the shift $s: 2^{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{Z}}$ defined by $s(x)(i)=x(i+1)$. It is easy to see that $s$ is indeed a homeomorphism.

Exercise 1.6. Show that $s$ is not minimal, but $s$ is transitive: there is $x \in 2^{\mathbb{Z}}$ such that the orbit $\operatorname{Orb}_{\phi}(x)$ is dense in $2^{\mathbb{Z}}$.

While the shift homeomorphism is not minimal, it has lots of minimal subshifts. We say that a sequence $x \in 2^{\mathbb{Z}}$ is homogeneous if for every finite sequence $\alpha \in 2^{<\omega}$ that occurs in $x$ there is a number $N(\alpha)$ such that any interval of length $N(\alpha)$ in $x$ contains $\alpha$.

Theorem 1.7. Let $x \in 2^{\mathbb{Z}}$ be a binary sequence, and let $Y=\overline{\operatorname{Orb}_{s}(x)}$. The subshift $\left(Y,\left.s\right|_{Y}\right)$ is minimal if and only if $x$ is homogeneous.

Proof. Suppose $x \in X$ is homogeneous and pick a $y \in Y$. Our goal is to show that $\operatorname{Orb}_{s}(y)$ is dense in $Y$. For this it is enough to show that $x \in \overline{\operatorname{Orb}_{s}(y)}$. Pick a segment $\alpha$ of $x$. By homogeneity there is an integer $N(\alpha)$ such that any segment of $x$ of length $N(\alpha)$ contains a subsegment $\alpha$. Pick any subsegment $\beta$ of $y$ of length $N(\alpha)$. Since $y \in Y$, this subsegment $\beta$ must also occur in $x$, whereby using homogeneity we see that $\alpha$ occurs in $y$. Therefore $x \in \overline{\operatorname{Orb}_{s}(y)}$.

For the other direction we show the contrapositive. Suppose $x$ is not homogeneous. It means that there is a segment $\alpha$ of $x$ and infinitely many segments $\beta_{n}$ of $x$ such that the length of $\beta_{n}$ growth and $\beta_{n}$ does not contain the subsegment $\alpha$. Assume for convenience that the length of $\beta_{n}$ is $2 n+1$. Let $y_{n} \in X$ be such that $\left.y_{n}\right|_{[-n, n]}=\beta_{n}$ and $\alpha$ does not occur in $y_{n}$. By compactness of $X$ there is a $y \in X$ and $\left(n_{k}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $y_{n_{k}} \rightarrow y$. It is now easy to see that $y \in \overline{\operatorname{Orb}_{s}(x)}$ and that $x \notin \overline{\operatorname{Orb}_{s}(y)}$, whence $\left.s\right|_{Y}$ is not minimal.
Proposition 1.8. For any $\phi \in \operatorname{Homeo}(X)$ there is a closed non-empty $F_{0} \subseteq X$ such that $\phi\left(F_{0}\right)=F_{0}$ and $\left(F_{0},\left.\phi\right|_{F_{0}}\right)$ is minimal.

Proof. Let

$$
\mathcal{F}=\{F \subseteq X \mid F \text { is closed, non-empty, and } \phi(F)=F\}
$$

be the family of closed invariant subsets ordered by inclusion. Note that if $\left(F_{\lambda}\right)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ is a chain in $\mathcal{F}$, then $\bigcap_{\lambda} F_{\lambda}$ also belongs to $\mathcal{F}$. Hence by Zorn's lemma we can find a minimal element $F_{0} \in \mathcal{F}$. The system $\left(F_{0},\left.\phi\right|_{F_{0}}\right)$ is minimal by item (iii) of Proposition 1.2 .

## 2. Full groups

Definition 1.9. Let $\phi \in \operatorname{Homeo}(X)$ be a homeomorphism of a Cantor space $X$. The full group of $\phi$ is denoted by $[\phi]$ and is defined to be

$$
[\phi]=\left\{g \in \operatorname{Homeo}(X) \mid \forall x \in X \exists n(x) \in \mathbb{Z} \quad g(x)=\phi^{n(x)}(x)\right\}
$$

With an element $g \in[\phi]$ we associate the cocycle $n=n_{g}: X \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ given by $g(x)=\phi^{n(x)}(x)$. Note that if $\phi$ is aperiodic, then the cocycle is uniquely defined. The topological full group of $\phi$ is denoted by $\llbracket \phi \rrbracket$ and is the subgroup of those $g \in[\phi]$ for which the cocycle $n_{g}$ is continuous (or, more formally, can be chosen to be continuous) with respect to the discrete topology on the integers:

$$
\llbracket \phi \rrbracket=\left\{g \in[\phi] \mid n_{g}: X \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \text { is continuous }\right\} .
$$

Proposition 1.10. Let $\phi \in \operatorname{Homeo}(X)$ be any homeomorphism. An element $g \in \operatorname{Homeo}(X)$ is in the topological full group $g \in \llbracket \phi \rrbracket$ if and only if there are clopen sets $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{m}$ and integers $k_{1}, \ldots, k_{m} \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $X=A_{1} \sqcup \cdots \sqcup A_{m}$ and $\left.g\right|_{A_{i}}=\left.\phi^{k_{i}}\right|_{A_{i}}$.

Proof. If $g \in \llbracket \phi \rrbracket$, then the cocycle $n_{g}: X \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ can be chosen to be continuous, and therefore the image $n_{g}(X)$ is finite; let $k_{1}, \ldots, k_{m} \in \mathbb{Z}$ be the integers in the image of $n_{g}$. We set $A_{i}=n_{g}^{-1}\left(k_{i}\right)$ and the necessity is proved. For the sufficiency we note that the cocycle $n_{g}$ can be constructed by setting $\left.n_{g}\right|_{A_{i}}=k_{i}$. If the decomposition of $X$ into the sets $A_{i}$ is clopen, then the cocycle $n_{g}$ is continuous.

Definition 1.11. The support of a homeomorphism $\phi \in \operatorname{Homeo}(X)$ is defined to be the complement of the interior of the set of fixed points, or equivalently

$$
\operatorname{supp}(\phi)=\overline{\{x \in X \mid \phi(x) \neq x\}}
$$

Note that support of an aperiodic homeomorphism is necessarily all of $X$.
In general support of a homeomorphism is not necessarily open. The following proposition shows that elements of the topological full group of a minimal homeomorphism are special in this sense.

Proposition 1.12. Let $\phi \in \operatorname{Homeo}(X)$ be minimal. The support $\operatorname{supp}(g)$ of any $g \in \llbracket \phi \rrbracket$ is a clopen subset of $X$.

Proof. Pick a $g \in \llbracket \phi \rrbracket$ and find clopen subsets $A_{i}$ for $i \in I$ such that $\left.g\right|_{A_{i}}=\left.\phi^{i}\right|_{A_{i}}$, where $I \subset \mathbb{N}$ is finite. The support of $g$ is then given by

$$
\operatorname{supp}(g)=\bigcup_{i \in I \backslash\{0\}} A_{i},
$$

and is therefore clopen.
Proposition 1.13. Let $\phi \in \operatorname{Homeo}(X)$ be minimal. For any $g \in \llbracket \phi \rrbracket$ and any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the set

$$
X_{n}=\left\{x \in X \mid \operatorname{Orb}_{g}(x) \text { has cardinality } n\right\}
$$

is clopen.
Proof. Let $\mathcal{P}=\left(A_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ be a clopen partition of $X$ such that $\left.g\right|_{A_{i}}=\left.\phi^{i}\right|_{A_{i}}$, where $I \subset \mathbb{N}$ is finite. Let $\left(B_{j}\right)_{j=1}^{N}=\bigvee_{k=0}^{n} \phi^{-k}(\mathcal{P})$ be the refinement of the partitions $\phi^{-k}(\mathcal{P})$ for $0 \leq k \leq n$. For each $B_{j}$ there is an integer $m_{j}$ such that $\left.g\right|_{B_{j}}=\left.\phi^{m_{j}}\right|_{B_{j}}$. Let $x \in X_{n}$ and let $j_{0}, \ldots, j_{n}$ be such that $\phi^{k}(x) \in B_{j_{k}}$ for all $0 \leq k \leq n$. By the definition of $X_{n}$ we have $g^{n}(x)=x$ and therefore

$$
\phi^{\sum_{k=0}^{n} m_{j_{k}}}(x)=x
$$

which is possible only if $\sum_{k=0}^{n} m_{j_{k}}=0$, whence $B_{j_{0}} \subseteq X_{n}$. This shows that $X_{n}$ is open.
Since

$$
X_{n}=\left\{x \in X \mid g^{n}(x)=x\right\} \backslash \bigcup_{m<n}\left\{x \in X \mid g^{m}(x)=x\right\}
$$

the set $X_{n}$ is also closed.
Proposition 1.14. Let $f \in \operatorname{Homeo}(X)$ be a periodic homeomorphism of period $n$. There exists a clopen set $A \subseteq X$ such that $X=\bigsqcup_{i=0}^{n-1} f^{i}(A)$.

Proof. For any point $x \in X$ we can find a clopen neighbourhood $U_{x} \subseteq X$ such that $f^{i}\left(U_{x}\right) \cap U_{x}=\varnothing$ for all $1 \leq i<n$. By compactness of $X$ there is a finite family $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N} \in X$ such that $X=\bigcup_{j \leq N} U_{x_{j}}$. We now construct sets $A_{j}$ inductively. Put $A_{1}=U_{x_{1}}$, and

$$
A_{j+1}=A_{j} \cup\left(U_{x_{j+1}} \backslash \bigcup_{i=0}^{n-1} f^{i}\left(A_{j}\right)\right)
$$

It is now straightforward to see that $A=A_{N}$ satisfies the conclusion of the proposition.

## 3. Kakutani-Rokhlin partitions

We would like to describe an important space decomposition construction that is attributed to Kakutani and Rokhlin. Let $\phi \in \operatorname{Homeo}(X)$ be a minimal homeomorphism and let $D \subseteq X$ be a non-empty clopen subset. We define the first return function $t_{D, \phi}=t_{D}: D \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ by

$$
t_{D}(x)=\min \left\{n \geq 1 \mid \phi^{n}(x) \in D\right\}
$$

By minimality of $\phi$, the function $t_{D}$ is well-defined and continuous. We can therefore find a number $N$, positive integers $k_{1}, \ldots, k_{N}$, and a partition $D=D_{1} \sqcup \cdots \sqcup D_{N}$ into non-empty clopen subsets such that $\left.t_{D}\right|_{D_{i}}=k_{i}$. The space $X$ can then be written as a disjoint union of sets (see Figure 1)
$X=D_{1} \sqcup \phi\left(D_{1}\right) \sqcup \cdots \sqcup \phi^{k_{1}-1}\left(D_{1}\right) \sqcup D_{2} \sqcup \phi\left(D_{2}\right) \sqcup \cdots \sqcup \phi^{k_{2}-1}\left(D_{2}\right) \sqcup \ldots \sqcup D_{N} \sqcup \phi\left(D_{N}\right) \sqcup \ldots \sqcup \phi^{k_{N}-1}\left(D_{N}\right)$.
One refers to the family $D_{i}, \phi\left(D_{i}\right), \ldots, \phi^{k_{i}-1}\left(D_{i}\right)$ as to the tower over $D_{i}$. The number $k_{i}$ is then the height of this tower. The set $D_{i}$ is the base of the tower, and $\phi^{k_{i}-1}\left(D_{i}\right)$ is its top. Note that every point in the top level of some tower goes under the action of $\phi$ to a base of a (possibly different) tower.

Exercise 1.15. Draw the Kakutani-Rokhlin partition of the odometer $\sigma$ over the cylindrical set $D=\{x \in$ $\left.2^{\mathbb{N}} \mid x(i)=0, i \leq n\right\}$ for some fixed $n$.

When building a Kakutani-Rokhlin partition it is sometimes useful to assume that the obtained partition is finer than a given partition $\mathcal{P}$. The following proposition assures that this can always be done.


Figure 1. A Kakutani-Rokhlin partition of $X$ with base $D$.

Proposition 1.16. Let $\phi \in \operatorname{Homeo}(X)$ be minimal, let $D \subseteq X$ be a clopen subset, and let $\mathcal{P}$ be a partition of $X$. There are positive integers $K, J_{1}, \ldots, J_{K}$ and clopen subsets $D(i, j) \subseteq X$ indexed by pairs $(i, j)$ satisfying $1 \leq i \leq K$ and $0 \leq j<J_{i}$ such that
(i) $X=\bigsqcup_{i, j} D(i, j)$ and this partition is finer than $\mathcal{P}$;
(ii) $D=\bigsqcup_{i} D(i, 0)$;
(iii) $\phi(D(i, j))=D(i, j+1)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq K$ and $0 \leq j<J_{i}-1$;
(iv) $\phi\left(D\left(i, J_{i}-1\right)\right) \subseteq D$ for all $1 \leq i \leq K$.

Proof. The Kakutani-Rokhlin partition over the base $D$ described above satisfies all the items except possibly for the first one: it may not refine the partition $\mathcal{P}$. We shall now explain how the Kakutani-Rokhlin partition can be refined.

Suppose we are given sets $\widetilde{D}(i, j)$ for $1 \leq i \leq \widetilde{K}$ and $0 \leq j<\widetilde{J}_{i}$ that partition $X$ and that satisfy all the items above with the exception that we do not require for this partition to be finer than $\mathcal{P}$. Take a base of one of the towers $\widetilde{D}(i, 0)$. If we are given a partition of $\widetilde{D}(i, 0)$ into non-empty clopen sets $\widetilde{D}(i, 0)=\bigsqcup_{p} F_{p}$, where $1 \leq p \leq M$, then we can divide the $i$ th tower into $M$ towers (see Figure 2). This will naturally define


Figure 2. Refining a Kakutani-Rokhlin partition.
a refined Kakutani-Rokhlin partition with $K+M-1$ many towers.
To obtain a partition that is finer than $\mathcal{P}$ we do as follows. For each level $\widetilde{D}(i, j)$ let $\mathcal{F}_{i, j}$ be the partition of $\widetilde{D}(i, j)$ induced by $\mathcal{P}$ :

$$
\mathcal{F}_{i, j}=\left\{\widetilde{D}(i, j) \cap P_{k} \mid P_{k} \in \mathcal{P} \text { and } \widetilde{D}(i, j) \cap P_{k} \text { is non-empty }\right\}
$$

Let $\mathcal{C}_{i, j}$ be the partition of $\widetilde{D}(i, 0)$ obtained by transferring down the partition $\mathcal{F}_{i, j}$ :

$$
\mathcal{C}_{i, j}=\left\{\phi^{-j}\left(\widetilde{D}(i, j) \cap P_{k}\right) \mid \widetilde{D}(i, j) \cap P_{k} \in \mathcal{F}_{i, j}\right\}
$$

Let finally $\mathcal{C}$ be the partition of $D$ generated by all the partitions $\mathcal{C}_{i, j}$. Note that by construction $\mathcal{C}$ is finer that the partition given by the sets $\widetilde{D}(i, 0)$.

Suppose for example that the partition $\widetilde{D}(i, j)$ has three towers of height 4,6 and 6 respectively (see Figure 3), and the partition $\mathcal{P}$ has four pieces $P_{k}, 1 \leq k \leq 4$ which are shown in Figure 3. The little bars show how $\widetilde{D}(i, j)$ is partitioned into $\mathcal{F}_{i, j}$ and dashed lines show how the partitions $\mathcal{F}_{i, j}$ give rise to the partition $\mathcal{C}$ of the base.


Figure 3. Refining the Kakutani-Rokhlin partition according to the partition $\mathcal{P}$ of four pieces.
We now refine the Kakutani-Rokhlin partition $\widetilde{D}(i, j)$ by splitting towers according to the partition $\mathcal{C}$ as explained in Figure 2, and obtain a new Kakutani-Rokhlin partition $D(i, j)$ for $1 \leq i \leq K, 1 \leq j \leq J_{i}$, where $K=|\mathcal{C}|$, and $J_{k}=\widetilde{J}_{i}$ whenever $D(k, 0) \subseteq \widetilde{D}(i, 0)$.

We claim that this finer Kakutani-Rokhlin partition $D(i, j)$ refines $\mathcal{P}$. Indeed, take any level $D(i, j)$. By construction there are integers $k$ and $p$ such that $D(i, j) \subseteq \widetilde{D}(p, j) \cap P_{k}$ and therefore $D(i, j) \subseteq P_{k}$.

We now give a formal definition.
Definition 1.17. By a Kakutani-Rokhlin partition we shall mean a family of sets $D(i, j)$ satisfying all the items of Proposition 1.16 (for the trivial partition $\mathcal{P}=\{X\}$ if no other partition is specified). We use the Greek capital letter chi $\Xi$ to denote Kakutani-Rokhlin partitions. A tower of $\Xi$ is the family $\left\{D(i, j) \mid 0 \leq j<J_{i}\right\}$ for some fixed $i$. The $i$ th tower will be denoted by $T_{i}$ and $\mathcal{T}(\Xi)$ will denote the set of all towers. There are $K$ towers in $\Xi$. The height of the tower $T_{i}$ is the integer $J_{i}=\left|T_{i}\right|$. The set $D(i, 0)$ is said to be the base of the tower $T_{i}$ and $\phi^{J_{i}-1}(D(i, 0))=D\left(i, J_{i}-1\right)$ is the top of $T_{i}$. The union $D$ of all $D(i, 0)$ is said to be the base of $\Xi$ (see Figure 4).


Figure 4. Elements of a Kakutani-Rokhlin partition.

## LECTURE 2

## Invariant measures

The set $\mathrm{M}(X)$ of countably additive Borel probability measures on $X$ is separable, compact and metrizable in the weak-* topology, when viewed as a closed subset of the unit ball of the space $(C(X))^{*}$ - the dual to the space of continuous functions on $X$. The topology is given by the basis of neighbourhoods

$$
U\left(\mu ; f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}, \epsilon\right)=\left\{\nu \in \mathrm{M}(X):\left|\int f_{i} d \mu-\int f_{i} d \nu\right|<\epsilon \text { for } i \leq n\right\}
$$

where $f_{i} \in C(X)$ are continuous real-valued functions on $X$. To generate the topology it is enough to take for $f_{i}$ characteristic functions of clopen sets.

With a homeomorphism $\phi \in \operatorname{Homeo}(X)$ we associate the closed subspace of invariant measures $\mathrm{M}(\phi)$

$$
\mathrm{M}(\phi)=\{\mu \in \mathrm{M}(X) \mid \mu=\phi \circ \mu\}
$$

where $(\phi \circ \mu)(A)=\mu\left(\phi^{-1}(A)\right)$. According to the Krylov-Bogoliubov Theorem this set is never empty.
Theorem 2.1 (Krylov-Bogoliubov). For any $\phi \in \operatorname{Homeo}(X)$ the set $\mathrm{M}(\phi)$ is non-empty.
Proof. Pick an $x \in X$ and let $\delta_{x}$ be the Dirac measure concentrated at $x$. Set

$$
\mu_{n}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \phi^{i} \circ \delta_{x}
$$

Note that $\phi \circ \delta_{x}=\delta_{\phi(x)}$. Since $\mu_{n} \in \mathrm{M}(X)$ and since $\mathrm{M}(X)$ is compact, there is a subsequence $\left(n_{k}\right)$ and a measure $\nu \in \mathrm{M}(X)$ such that $\mu_{n_{k}} \rightarrow \nu$. We claim that $\nu \in \mathrm{M}(\phi)$. Indeed, for any $f \in C(X)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int f d \mu_{n_{k}} & =\frac{1}{n_{k}} \sum_{i=0}^{n_{k}-1} f\left(\phi^{i}(x)\right) \\
\int f d\left(\phi \circ \mu_{n_{k}}\right) & =\frac{1}{n_{k}} \sum_{i=0}^{n_{k}-1} f\left(\phi^{i+1}(x)\right)=\int f d \mu_{n_{k}}+\frac{1}{n_{k}}\left(f\left(\phi^{n_{k}}(x)\right)-f(x)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

and therefore

$$
\left|\int f d\left(\phi \circ \mu_{n_{k}}\right)-\int f d \mu_{n_{k}}\right| \leq \frac{2}{n_{k}}\|f\|_{\infty}
$$

This implies that $\phi \circ \mu_{n_{k}} \rightarrow \nu$, but also $\phi \circ \mu_{n_{k}} \rightarrow \phi \circ \nu$, whence $\phi \circ \nu=\nu$.
Proposition 2.2. Let $\phi \in \operatorname{Homeo}(X)$ be a minimal homeomorphism. For any non-empty clopen $A \subseteq X$ the infimum $\inf \{\mu(A) \mid \mu \in \mathrm{M}(\phi)\}>0$ is strictly positive.

Proof. Let $c=\inf \{\mu(A) \mid \mu \in \mathrm{M}(\phi)\}$. If $c=0$, then we can find a sequence $\mu_{n} \in \mathrm{M}(\phi)$ such that $\mu_{n}(A) \leq 1 / n$. By compactness of $\mathrm{M}(\phi)$ there is a measure $\mu \in \mathrm{M}(\phi)$ such that $\mu(A)=0$, and thus $\mu(X)=\mu\left(\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \phi^{i}(A)\right)=0$, which is impossible.

Theorem 2.3 (Glasner-Weiss GW95, Lemma 2.5). Let $\phi \in \operatorname{Homeo}(X)$ be a minimal homeomorphism and $A, B \subseteq X$ be clopen subsets such that $\mu(B)<\mu(A)$ for all $\mu \in \mathrm{M}(\phi)$. There exists an element $g \in \llbracket \phi \rrbracket$ such that $g(B) \subset A$. Moreover one can find such a $g \in \llbracket \phi \rrbracket$ that also satisfies $g^{2}=\mathrm{id}$ and $\left.g\right|_{\sim(B \cup g(B))}=\mathrm{id}$.

Proof. Without loss of generality we may assume that $A \cap B=\varnothing$. Put $f=1_{A}-1_{B}$, and note that $\int f d \mu>0$ for any $\mu \in \mathrm{M}(\phi)$. We claim that there is $c>0$ such that

$$
\inf _{\mu \in \mathrm{M}(\phi)} \int f d \mu>c>0
$$

To see this we let

$$
\epsilon_{\mu}=1 / 2 \cdot \int f d \mu
$$

The family of neighbourhoods $\left\{U\left(\mu ; f, \epsilon_{\mu}\right) \mid \mu \in \mathrm{M}(\phi)\right\}$ covers $\mathrm{M}(\phi)$. By compactness there is a finite family $\mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{n}$ such that $\mathrm{M}(\phi)=\bigcup_{i} U\left(\mu_{i} ; f, \epsilon_{\mu_{i}}\right)$. One can now set $c=1 / 2 \cdot \min \left\{\epsilon_{m_{i}} \mid i \leq n\right\}$.

The next step is to show that there must be an $N_{0}>0$ such that for all $x \in X$ and all $N \geq N_{0}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
c \leq \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} f\left(\phi^{i}(x)\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If this isn't so, then there is an increasing sequence $n_{k}$ of natural numbers and a sequence of points $x_{k} \in X$ such that

$$
\frac{1}{n_{k}} \sum_{i=0}^{n_{k}-1} f\left(\phi^{i}\left(x_{k}\right)\right) \in[-1, c]
$$

As in the proof of the Krylov-Bogoliubov Theorem we set $\mu_{k}=\frac{1}{n_{k}} \sum_{i=0}^{n_{k}-1} \phi \circ \delta_{x_{k}}$, and after passing to a subsequence we may assume that $\mu_{k} \rightarrow \nu \in \mathrm{M}(\phi)$, hence

$$
\int f d \nu \leq c
$$

contradicting the choice of $c$.


Figure 5. Construction of $g$.
We fix an $N_{0}>0$ such that (1) holds, and find a non-empty clopen $D \subseteq B$ such that $\phi^{i}(D) \cap D=\varnothing$ for all $i \leq N_{0}$. The inequality

$$
c \leq \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} f\left(\phi^{i}(x)\right)
$$

implies that each column in the Kakutani-Rokhlin stack over $D$ has more elements in $A$, than in $B$ and we define $g$ in a natural way (see Figure 5 ).

Theorem 2.4 (Glasner-Weiss GW95, Proposition 2.6). Let $\phi \in \operatorname{Homeo}(X)$ be a minimal homeomorphism, and $A, B \subseteq X$ be clopen sets such that $\mu(A)=\mu(B)$ for all $\mu \in \mathrm{M}(\phi)$. There exists $g \in[\phi]$ such that $g(A)=B, g^{2}=\mathrm{id}$, and $\left.g\right|_{\sim(A \cup B)}=\mathrm{id}$. Moreover, $g$ can be chosen such that the corresponding cocycle $n_{g}$ has at most two points of discontinuity.

Proof. Without loss of generality we may assume that $A \cap B=\varnothing$. Pick an $x_{0} \in A$ and $n_{0}$ such that $y_{0}=\phi^{n_{0}}\left(x_{0}\right) \in B$. We fix a complete metric $d$ on $X$. Find $A_{1}-$ a clopen neighbourhood of $x_{0}$ of diameter $<1$ and such that $A_{1}^{\prime}=A \backslash A_{1}$ satisfies

$$
\mu(A) / 2<\mu\left(A_{1}^{\prime}\right)<\mu(A) \quad \forall \mu \in \mathrm{M}(\phi)
$$

Next we choose a clopen $V_{1} \subseteq B$ a neighbourhood of $y_{0}$ such that

$$
\mu\left(A_{1}^{\prime}\right)<\mu\left(B \backslash V_{1}\right)<\mu(B) \quad \forall \mu \in \mathrm{M}(\phi)
$$

By Theorem 2.3 we can find an element $g_{1} \in \llbracket \phi \rrbracket$ with $g_{1}\left(A_{1}^{\prime}\right)=B_{1}^{\prime} \subset B \backslash V_{1}, g_{1}\left(B_{1}^{\prime}\right)=A_{1}^{\prime}$ and $\left.g_{1}\right|_{\sim\left(A_{1}^{\prime} \cup B_{1}^{\prime}\right)}=$ id. We set $B_{1}=B \backslash B_{1}^{\prime}$; note that $\mu\left(B_{1}\right)=\mu\left(A_{1}\right)$ for all $\mu \in \mathrm{M}(\phi)$.


Figure 6. Construction of $g_{1}$
We can now repeat the process in the opposite direction: pick $B_{2}$ a clopen neighbourhood of $y_{0}$ such that $B_{2}^{\prime}=B_{1} \backslash B_{2}$ satisfies

$$
\mu\left(B_{1}\right) / 2<\mu\left(B_{2}^{\prime}\right)<\mu\left(B_{1}\right) \quad \forall \mu \in \mathrm{M}(\phi)
$$

choose $V_{2} \subset A_{1}$ a clopen neighbourhood of $x_{0}$ such that

$$
\mu\left(B_{2}^{\prime}\right)<\mu\left(A_{1} \backslash V_{2}\right)<\mu\left(A_{1}\right) \quad \forall \mu \in \mathrm{M}(\phi)
$$

and by Theorem 2.3 choose a $g_{2} \in \llbracket \phi \rrbracket$ such that $g_{2}\left(B_{2}^{\prime}\right)=A_{2}^{\prime}, g_{2}\left(A_{2}^{\prime}\right)=B_{2}^{\prime}$ and $g_{2}$ is trivial on the complement of $A_{2}^{\prime} \cup B_{2}^{\prime}$. Set $A_{2}=A \backslash A_{2}^{\prime}$; note that $\mu\left(B_{2}\right)=A_{2}$ for all $\mu \in \mathrm{M}(\phi)$. Continuing in this fashion we obtain a decomposition of the space

$$
X=(X \backslash(A \cup B)) \sqcup\left(\bigcup A_{n}^{\prime}\right) \sqcup\left(\bigcup B_{n}^{\prime}\right) \sqcup\left\{x_{0}, y_{0}\right\}
$$

and define $g \in[\phi]$ by

$$
g(x)= \begin{cases}x & \text { if } x \in X \backslash(A \cup B) \\ g_{n}(x) & \text { if } x \in A_{n}^{\prime} \cup B_{n}^{\prime} \\ y_{0} & \text { if } x=x_{0} \\ x_{0} & \text { if } x=y_{0}\end{cases}
$$

The cocycle $n_{g}$ may have discontinuities at points $x_{0}$ and $y_{0}$ only.
Exercise 2.5. Let $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n}$ be disjoint clopen subsets of $X$ such that $\mu\left(A_{i}\right)=\mu\left(A_{j}\right)$ for all $\mu \in \mathrm{M}(\phi)$ and let $\sigma$ be a permutation of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$. Show that there exists $h \in[\phi]$ such that $h\left(A_{i}\right)=A_{\sigma(i)}$ for all $i \leq n$.

## LECTURE 3

## Spatial realization

Let for brevity $\Gamma$ denote the topological full group $\llbracket \phi \rrbracket$ of a minimal homeomorphism.
Proposition 3.1. For every non-empty clopen $A \subseteq X$, every $x \in A$, and every $n>0$ there is an $h \in \Gamma$ such that $\operatorname{supp}(h) \subseteq A, x \in \operatorname{supp}(h)$ and $\left.h\right|_{\operatorname{supp}(h)}$ has period $n$.

Proof. By the minimality of $\phi$ we can find $0=k_{0}<k_{1}<\ldots<k_{n-1}$ such that $\phi^{k_{i}}(x) \in A$. Let $U$ be a sufficiently small neighbourhood of $x$ such that $\phi^{k_{i}}(U) \cap \phi^{k_{j}}(U)=\varnothing$ for $i \neq j$, and set

$$
\left.h\right|_{\phi^{k_{i}}(U)}=\left.\phi^{k_{i+1}-k_{i}}\right|_{\phi^{k_{i}}(U)}, \text { for } i<n \text { and }\left.\quad h\right|_{\phi^{k_{n-1}}(U)}=\left.\phi^{-\sum_{i} k_{i}}\right|_{\phi^{k_{n-1}}(U)} .
$$

For a clopen subset $A$ define

$$
\Gamma_{A}=\{g \in \Gamma \mid \operatorname{supp}(g) \subseteq A\}
$$

Note that $\Gamma_{A}$ is a subgroup of $\Gamma$.
For a subset $F \subseteq \Gamma$, the centralizer of $F$ is denoted by $F^{\prime}$ and is defined to be the set of elements in $\Gamma$ that commute with all elements from $F$ :

$$
F^{\prime}=\{g \in \Gamma \mid \forall f \in F g f=f g\}
$$

Note that $F \subseteq F^{\prime \prime}$ and $\left(F_{1} \cup F_{2}\right)^{\prime}=F_{1}^{\prime} \cap F_{2}^{\prime}$.
Lemma 3.2. Let $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n}$ be clopen subsets of $X$.
(i) If $\Gamma_{A_{1}}=\Gamma_{A_{2}}$, then $A_{1}=A_{2}$.
(ii) $\left(\Gamma_{A_{1}} \cup \cdots \cup \Gamma_{A_{2}}\right)^{\prime}=\Gamma_{\sim \cup A_{i}}$.
(iii) $\Gamma_{A_{1}} \cap \Gamma_{A_{2}}=\Gamma_{A_{1} \cap A_{2}}$.

Proof. (i) We show the contrapositive. Suppose that $A_{1} \backslash A_{2} \neq \varnothing$. By Proposition 3.1 one can find an involution $g \in \Gamma$ such that $\operatorname{supp}(g) \subseteq A_{1} \backslash A_{2}$, and therefore $g \in \Gamma_{A_{1}} \backslash \Gamma_{A_{2}}$.
(iii) Suppose $g \in\left(\Gamma_{A_{1}} \cup \ldots \cup \Gamma_{A_{n}}\right)^{\prime}$ and assume towards a contradiction that $g \notin \Gamma_{\sim \cup_{i} A_{i}}$, i.e., there are $i \leq n$ and $B \subseteq A_{i}$ such that $g(B) \cap B=\varnothing$. We can find an $h \in \Gamma_{A_{i}}$ such that $\operatorname{supp}(h) \subseteq B$ and $C \subseteq B$ is such that $h(C) \cap C=\varnothing$. Therefore $g h(C) \neq h g(C)=g(C)$. Hence $g \notin \Gamma_{A_{i}}^{\prime}$, which is a contradiction. The other inclusion is obvious.
(iii) The equality follows immediately from the definitions.

Let $\pi \in \Gamma$ be an involutions: $\gamma^{2}=\mathrm{id}$. Note that the $\operatorname{support} \operatorname{supp}(\pi)$ is a clopen subset of $X$. We construct the following subsets of $\Gamma$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{\pi}=\{g \in \Gamma \quad \mid g \pi=\pi g \quad\}, \\
& U_{\pi}=\left\{g \in C_{\pi} \mid g^{2}=\mathrm{id}, \text { and } g\left(h g h^{-1}\right)=\left(h g h^{-1}\right) g \text { for all } h \in C_{\pi}\right\}, \\
& V_{\pi}=\left\{g \in \Gamma \quad \mid g h=h g \text { for all } h \in U_{\pi} \quad\right\}, \\
& S_{\pi}=\left\{g^{2} \quad \mid g \in V_{\pi} \quad\right\}, \\
& W_{\pi}=\left\{g \in \Gamma \quad \mid g h=h g \text { for all } h \in S_{\pi}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 3.3 (Bezuglyi-Medynets BM08, Lemma 5.10). $W_{\pi}=\Gamma_{\operatorname{supp}(\pi)}$.
Proof. We prove a series of claims each clarifying some properties of the sets constructed above. The proof of the lemma will then follow from these claims.
(1) $\quad g(\operatorname{supp}(\pi))=\operatorname{supp}(\pi)$ for all $g \in C_{\pi}$.

It is easy to verify that $\operatorname{supp}\left(g \pi g^{-1}\right)=g(\operatorname{supp}(\pi))$. Since $g \pi g^{-1}=\pi$, we get $g(\operatorname{supp}(\pi)) \subseteq \operatorname{supp}(\pi)$.
(2-i) $\operatorname{supp}(g) \subseteq \operatorname{supp}(\pi)$ for all $g \in U_{\pi}$. Suppose this is false and there are a clopen $A \subseteq \sim \operatorname{supp}(\pi)$ such that $g(A) \cap A=\varnothing$. By Proposition 3.1 we can find an $h \in \Gamma$ with support in $A$ such that for some $V \subseteq A$ one has $h^{i}(V) \cap V=\varnothing$ for $i=1,2$. Note that $h \in C_{\pi}$, but

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g\left(h g h^{-1}\right)(V)=g^{2} h^{-1}(V)=h^{-1}(V) \\
& \left(h g h^{-1}\right) g(V)=h g^{2}(V)=h(V)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $h^{-1}(V) \neq h(V)$, we get $g \notin U_{\pi}$.
(2-ii) If a clopen set $A$ is $\pi$-invariant, then $\pi_{A} \in U_{\pi}$.
Obviously $\pi_{A}^{2}=1$. Since for $x \in A$ we have $\pi \circ \pi_{A}(x)=\pi \circ \pi(x)=x=\pi_{A} \circ \pi(x)$, and for $x \in \mathcal{\sim}$ we have $\pi \circ \pi_{A}(x)=\pi(x)=\pi_{A} \circ \pi(x)$, it follows that $\pi_{A} \in C_{\pi}$. Finally one checks that

$$
\pi_{A}\left(h \pi_{A} h^{-1}\right)(x)=\left(h \pi_{A} h^{-1}\right) \pi_{A}(x)= \begin{cases}x & \text { if } x \in(\sim A \cap h(\sim A)) \cup(A \cap h(A)) \\ \pi(x) & \text { if } x \in(\sim A \cap h(A)) \cup(A \cap h(\sim A))\end{cases}
$$

(3-i) $\quad V_{\pi} \subseteq C_{\pi}$.
For this we show that $\pi \in U_{\pi}$. Indeed $\pi \in C_{\pi}, \pi^{2}=\mathrm{id}$, and $\pi\left(h \pi h^{-1}\right)=\mathrm{id}=\left(h \pi h^{-1}\right) \pi$ for all $h \in C_{\pi}$.
(3-ii) If $g \in V_{\pi}$, then $g(B) \subseteq B \cup \pi(B)$ for all $B \subseteq \operatorname{supp}(\pi)$. Suppose this is false and let $B$ be such that $g(B) \nsubseteq B \cup \pi(B)$. Set $B_{0}=B \cup \pi(B)$, and $C=g\left(B_{0}\right) \backslash B_{0}$. Note that $\pi\left(B_{0}\right)=B_{0}$ and $C \neq \varnothing$. By (3-i) we know that $\pi g\left(B_{0}\right)=g \pi_{B_{0}}=g\left(B_{0}\right)$ and therefore

$$
\pi(C)=\pi\left(g_{B_{0}} \backslash B_{0}\right)=\pi g\left(B_{0}\right) \backslash \pi\left(B_{0}\right)=g_{B_{0}} \backslash B_{0}=C .
$$

Using (1) and (3-i) we see that $g(\operatorname{supp}(\pi))=\operatorname{supp}(\pi)$. Since $B \subseteq \operatorname{supp}(\pi)$, this implies $B_{0} \subseteq \operatorname{supp}(\pi)$. We therefore can write $C=C_{1} \sqcup C_{2}$ such that $\pi\left(C_{1}\right)=C_{2}$. Note that by construction $g(C) \cap C=\varnothing$. By (2-ii) $\pi_{C} \in U_{\pi}$, but also

$$
\pi_{C} g\left(C_{1}\right)=g\left(C_{1}\right) \neq g\left(C_{2}\right)=g \pi_{C}\left(C_{1}\right)
$$

Whence $g \notin V_{\pi}$.
(3-iii) If $g \in V_{\pi}$, then $g^{2}(B)=B$ for any clopen $B \subseteq \operatorname{supp}(\pi)$.
Suppose there is $B \subseteq \operatorname{supp}(\pi)$ such that $g^{2}(B) \neq B$. By shrinking $B$ we may assume that

$$
g(B) \cap B=\varnothing=g^{2}(B) \cap B
$$

By (3-ii) $g(B) \subseteq B \cup \pi(B)$ and

$$
g^{2}(B) \subseteq g(B) \cup g \pi(B)=g(B) \cup \pi g(B)
$$

But since $g(B) \cap B=\varnothing$, we conclude $g(B) \subseteq \pi(B)$ and $g^{2}(B) \subseteq \pi g(B) \subseteq \pi^{2}(B)=B$. Note that $\mu\left(B \backslash g^{2}(B)\right)=0$ for all $\mu \in \mathrm{M}(\phi)$. Therefore the minimality of $\phi$ implies $B \backslash g^{2}(B)=\varnothing$.
(4-i) If $g \in S_{\pi}$, then $\operatorname{supp}(g) \subseteq \sim \operatorname{supp}(\pi)$.
Follows immediately from (3-iii).
(4-ii) For any clopen $C \subseteq \sim \operatorname{supp}(\pi)$ there is an involution $h \in S_{\pi}$ supported on $C$.
By Proposition 3.1 there exists a periodic homeomorphism $g$ of order 4 with support in $C$. By (2-i) $g \in V_{\pi}$ and therefore $g^{2} \in S_{\pi}$.
(5) $\quad W_{\pi}=\Gamma_{\operatorname{supp}(\pi)}$.

It follows from (4-i) that $\Gamma_{\text {supp }(\pi)} \subseteq W_{\pi}$. If $g \in W_{\pi}$ and for some $B \subseteq \sim \operatorname{supp}(\pi)$ we have $g(B) \cap B=\varnothing$, then take by (4-ii) any involution $h \in S_{\pi}$ supported on $B$, let $C$ be such that $h(C) \cap C=\varnothing$. It now follows that $h g(C)=g(C) \neq g h(C)$. Hence $g h \neq h g$, contradicting the choice of $g$.

Lemma 3.4. If $\pi_{1}, \ldots, \pi_{n} \in \Gamma$ and $\rho_{1}, \ldots, \rho_{m} \in \Gamma$ are involutions, then $\bigcup_{i} \operatorname{supp}\left(\pi_{i}\right)=\bigcup_{j} \operatorname{supp}\left(\rho_{j}\right)$ if and only if $\left(W_{\pi_{1}} \cup \ldots \cup W_{\pi_{n}}\right)^{\prime}=\left(W_{\rho_{1}} \cup \ldots \cup W_{\rho_{m}}\right)^{\prime}$.

Proof. Follows from Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 3.2
Theorem 3.5 (Stone). Homeomorphisms of the Cantor space $X$ are in one-to-one correspondence with the automorphisms of the Boolean algebra $C O(X)$ of clopen subsets of $X$. In other words any automorphisms $\hat{\alpha}$ of $C O(X)$ has a unique realization $\psi \in \operatorname{Homeo}(X)$ such that $\psi(A)=\hat{\alpha}(A)$ for all clopen $A \subseteq X$.

Exercise 3.6. Prove Stone's Theorem.

Theorem 3.7 (Giordano-Putnam-Skau GPS99, Theorem 4.2). Let $\phi_{1}$ and $\phi_{2}$ be minimal homeomorphisms, and let $\Gamma^{1}=\llbracket \phi_{1} \rrbracket$, $\Gamma^{2}=\llbracket \phi_{2} \rrbracket$. If $\alpha: \Gamma^{1} \rightarrow \Gamma^{2}$ is a group isomorphism, then $\alpha$ is necessarily spatial: there is a homeomorphism $\Lambda: X \rightarrow X$ such that $\alpha(g)=\Lambda g \Lambda^{-1}$ for all $g \in \Gamma^{1}$.

Proof. By Stone's Theorem it is enough to define $\Lambda$ on the clopen subsets of $X$. By Proposition 3.1 for any clopen $A \subseteq X$ we can find a finite family of involutions $\pi_{1}, \ldots, \pi_{n} \in \Gamma^{1}$ such that $\bigcup_{i} \operatorname{supp}\left(\pi_{i}\right)=\sim A$. By Lemma 3.3 there exists a clopen subset $\Lambda(A)$ such that

$$
\left(W_{\alpha\left(\pi_{1}\right)} \cup \ldots \cup W_{\alpha\left(\pi_{n}\right)}\right)^{\prime}=\Gamma_{\Lambda(A)}^{2}
$$

By Lemma 3.4 the map $A \mapsto \Lambda(A)$ is well-defined.
We claim that $\Lambda$ is an automorphism of the boolean algebra of clopen subsets of $X$. First of all we show that $\Lambda\left(A_{1} \cap A_{2}\right)=\Lambda\left(A_{1}\right) \cap \Lambda\left(A_{2}\right)$. If $\pi_{1}, \ldots, \pi_{n} \in \Gamma^{1}$ and $\rho_{1}, \ldots, \rho_{m} \in \Gamma^{1}$ are involutions such that $\sim A_{1}=\bigcup_{i} \operatorname{supp}\left(\pi_{i}\right)$ and $\sim A_{2}=\bigcup_{j} \operatorname{supp}\left(\rho_{j}\right)$, then

$$
\sim\left(A_{1} \cap A_{2}\right)=\left(\sim A_{1}\right) \cup\left(\sim A_{2}\right)=\left(\bigcup_{i} \operatorname{supp}\left(\pi_{i}\right)\right) \cup\left(\bigcup_{j} \operatorname{supp}\left(\rho_{j}\right)\right)
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_{\Lambda\left(A_{1} \cap A_{2}\right)}^{2} & =\left(W_{\alpha\left(\pi_{1}\right)} \cup \cdots \cup W_{\alpha\left(\pi_{n}\right)} \cup W_{\alpha\left(\rho_{1}\right)} \cdots W_{\alpha\left(\rho_{m}\right)}\right)^{\prime} \\
& =\left(W_{\alpha\left(\pi_{1}\right)} \cup \cdots \cup W_{\alpha\left(\pi_{n}\right)}\right)^{\prime} \cap\left(W_{\alpha\left(\rho_{1}\right)} \cdots W_{\alpha\left(\rho_{m}\right)}\right)^{\prime} \\
& =\Gamma_{\Lambda\left(A_{1}\right)}^{2} \cap \Gamma_{\Lambda\left(A_{2}\right)}^{2}=\Gamma_{\Lambda\left(A_{1}\right) \cap \Lambda\left(A_{2}\right)}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It now follows that $\Lambda\left(A_{1} \cap A_{2}\right)=\Lambda\left(A_{1}\right) \cap \Lambda\left(A_{2}\right)$.
The next step is to show that $\Lambda(\sim A)=\sim \Lambda(A)$. Let $\pi_{1}, \ldots, \pi_{n} \in \Gamma^{1}$ and $\rho_{1}, \ldots, \rho_{m} \in \Gamma^{1}$ be involutions such that $\sim A=\bigcup_{i} \operatorname{supp}\left(\pi_{i}\right)$ and $A=\bigcup_{j} \operatorname{supp}\left(\rho_{j}\right)$. Since $\left(\Gamma_{A}^{1}\right)^{\prime}=\Gamma_{\sim A}^{1}$, we get

$$
\left(W_{\pi_{1}} \cup \cdots \cup W_{\pi_{n}}\right)^{\prime \prime}=\left(W_{\rho_{1}} \cup \cdots \cup W_{\rho_{m}}\right)^{\prime}
$$

and therefore also

$$
\left(W_{\alpha\left(\pi_{1}\right)} \cup \cdots \cup W_{\alpha\left(\pi_{n}\right)}\right)^{\prime \prime}=\left(W_{\alpha\left(\rho_{1}\right)} \cup \cdots \cup W_{\alpha\left(\rho_{m}\right)}\right)^{\prime}
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_{\Lambda(\sim A)}^{2} & =\left(W_{\alpha\left(\rho_{1}\right)} \cup \cdots \cup W_{\alpha\left(\rho_{m}\right)}\right)^{\prime} \\
& =\left(W_{\alpha\left(\pi_{1}\right)} \cup \cdots \cup W_{\alpha\left(\pi_{n}\right)}\right)^{\prime \prime} \\
& =\left(\Gamma_{\Lambda(A)}^{2}\right)^{\prime}=\Gamma_{\sim \Lambda(A)}^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

and therefore $\Lambda(\sim A)=\sim \Lambda(A)$.
Since $\varnothing=\operatorname{supp}(\mathrm{id})$, we see that $\Lambda(X)=X$ and $\Lambda(\varnothing)=\varnothing$. And we have proved that $\Lambda$ is an endomorphism of $C O(X)$. It is easy to see that $\Lambda$ is bijective, since its inverse is defined by: if $B$ is clopen and $\pi_{1}, \ldots, \pi_{n} \in \Gamma^{2}$ are such that $\sim B=\bigcup_{i} \operatorname{supp}\left(\pi_{i}\right)$, then $\Lambda^{-1}(B)$ is defined to be such that

$$
\Gamma_{\Lambda^{-1}(B)}^{1}=\left(W_{\alpha^{-1}\left(\pi_{1}\right)} \cup \cdots \cup W_{\alpha^{-1}\left(\pi_{1}\right)}\right)^{\prime} .
$$

So $\Lambda$ is an automorphism of $C O(X)$.
Claim. If $\pi \in \Gamma^{1}$ is an involution, then $\Lambda(\operatorname{supp}(\pi))=\operatorname{supp}(\alpha(\pi))$. Indeed

$$
\sim \Lambda(\operatorname{supp}(\pi))=\Lambda(\sim \operatorname{supp}(\pi))=\sim \operatorname{supp}(\alpha(\pi))
$$

whence $\Lambda(\operatorname{supp}(\pi))=\operatorname{supp}(\alpha(\pi))$.
We finally show that for any clopen set $B$ we have $\alpha(g)(B)=\Lambda g \Lambda^{-1}(B)$. Suppose this is not the case. Let $V$ be a non-empty clopen set such that $V \cap \alpha\left(g^{-1}\right) \Lambda g \Lambda^{-1}(V)=\varnothing$. Pick an involution $\pi \in \Gamma^{2}$ such that $\operatorname{supp}(\pi) \subseteq V$. Note that by the claim $\alpha^{-1}(\pi)$ is supported by $\Lambda^{-1}(V)$, and therefore $g \alpha^{-1}(\pi) g^{-1}$ is supported by $g \Lambda^{-1}(\pi)$. This implies $\alpha\left(g \alpha^{-1}(\pi) g\right)=\alpha(g) \pi \alpha\left(g^{-1}\right)$ is supported by $\Lambda g \Lambda^{-1}(V)$. But on the other hand $\alpha(g) \pi \alpha\left(g^{-1}\right)$ is supported by $\alpha(g)(V)$. This shows that $\alpha(g) V \cap \Lambda g \Lambda^{-1}(V) \neq \varnothing$, contradicting the choice of $V$.

## LECTURE 4

## Boyle's Theorem and Flip conjugacy

Definition 4.1. We say that two homeomorphisms $\phi, \psi \in \operatorname{Homeo}(X)$ are flip conjugated if there is an $\alpha \in \operatorname{Homeo}(X)$ such that either $\phi=\alpha \psi \alpha^{-1}$ or $\phi^{-1}=\alpha \psi \alpha^{-1}$. This is an equivalence relation.
Theorem 4.2 (Boyle-Tomiyama $\overline{\mathbf{B T 9 8}}$ ). Let $\phi$ and $\psi$ be minimal homeomorphisms. If $\alpha \in \operatorname{Homeo}(X)$ is such that

$$
\llbracket \phi \rrbracket \ni g \mapsto \alpha g \alpha^{-1} \in \llbracket \psi \rrbracket
$$

is an isomorphism, then $\phi$ and $\psi$ are flip conjugated.
Proof. By switching from $\phi$ to $\alpha \phi \alpha^{-1}$ we may assume that $\alpha=\mathrm{id}$ and that $\llbracket \phi \rrbracket=\llbracket \psi \rrbracket$. Let $n: X \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be the cocycle $\psi(x)=\phi^{n(x)}(x)$, and define

$$
f(k, x)= \begin{cases}-\left(n\left(\psi^{-1}(x)\right)+\cdots+n\left(\psi^{k}(x)\right)\right) & \text { for } k<0 \\ 0 & \text { for } k=0 \\ n(x)+\cdots+n\left(\psi^{k-1}(x)\right) & \text { for } k>0\end{cases}
$$

This function satisfies $\psi^{k}(x)=\phi^{f(k, x)}(x)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and the following cocycle identity:

$$
f(k+l, x)=f\left(k, \psi^{l}(x)\right)+f(l, x)
$$

Fix an $N$ such that $|n(x)| \leq N$ for all $x \in X$. The cocycle identity implies

$$
|f(k \pm 1, x)-f(k, x)| \leq N
$$

and also

$$
|f(k, \psi(x))-f(k, x)| \leq|f(k+1, x)-f(k, x)|+|f(-1, \psi(x))| \leq 2 N
$$

From $\psi^{k}(x)=\phi^{f(k, x)}(x)$ we see that the map $k \mapsto f\left(k, x_{0}\right)$ is a bijection for any fixed $x_{0} \in X$, and therefore for any $x_{0} \in X$ there is an $\bar{N}>0$ such that

$$
[-N, N] \subseteq\left\{f\left(k, x_{0}\right) \mid k \in[-\bar{N}, \bar{N}]\right\}
$$

By continuity of the cocycle $n$, the function $f$ is locally constant, hence for any $x_{0}$ there is a neighbourhood $U_{x_{0}}$ of $x_{0}$ such that

$$
[-N, N] \subseteq\{f(k, y) \mid k \in[-\bar{N}, \bar{N}]\}
$$

holds for all $y \in U_{x_{0}}$. By compactness we can take $\bar{N}$ to be large enough to work for all $x \in X$.
Note that $f(\bar{N}, x) \neq 0$ for all $x \in X$. Moreover $f(\bar{N}, x)>0$ if and only if $f(n, x)>0$ and $f(-n, x)<0$ for all $n \geq \bar{N}$. Similarly, $f(\bar{N}, x)<0$ if and only if $f(n, x)<0$ and $f(-n, x)>0$ for all $n \geq \bar{N}$. We define sets

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=\{x \in X \mid f(\bar{N}, x)>0\} \\
& B=\{x \in X \mid f(\bar{N}, x)<0\}
\end{aligned}
$$

These sets are clopen, $\psi$-invariant, and $X=A \sqcup B$. Therefore either $A=\varnothing$, or $B=\varnothing$. By taking $\psi^{-1}$ for $\psi$ we may assume without loss of generality that $A=X$. Define a function $c: X \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ as follows.

$$
\begin{aligned}
c(x) & =\#[-N \bar{N}, \infty) \cap\{f(i, x) \mid i \leq 0\} \\
& =\#[-N \bar{N}, \infty) \cap\{f(i-1, \psi(x))+n(x) \mid i \leq 0\} \\
& =\#[-N \bar{N}, \infty) \cap\{f(i, \psi(x))+n(x) \mid i \leq 0\}-1 \\
& =\#[-N \bar{N}-n(x), \infty) \cap\{f(i, \psi(x)) \mid i \leq 0\}-1 \\
& =\#[-N \bar{N}, \infty) \cap\{f(i, \psi(x)) \mid i \leq 0\}+n(x)-1 \\
& =c(\psi(x))+n(x)-1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $1+c(x)=c(\psi(x))+n(x)$.
Finally we define $g(x)=\phi^{c(x)} x$. Note that

$$
\phi g(x)=\phi^{1+c(x)} x=\phi^{n(x)+c(\psi(x))}(x)=\phi^{c(\psi(x))} \psi(x)=g \psi(x) .
$$

This implies $\phi^{k} g=g \psi^{k}$ for all $k$, and hence $g$ is surjective. Also if $g(x)=g \psi^{k}(x)$, then $\phi^{k} g(x)=g(x)$, hence $\operatorname{Orb}_{\phi}(g(x))$ is finite, which is impossible. This shows that $g$ is bijective. Since $c$ is continuous, $g$ is in fact a homeomorphism of $X$ such that $\phi=g \psi g^{-1}$.
Combining Theorem 3.7 and Theorem 4.2 we get
Theorem 4.3 (Giordano-Putnam-Skau GPS99, Corollary 4.4). Two minimal homeomorphisms have isomorphic full groups if and only if they are flip conjugated.

## LECTURE 5

## Simplicity of commutator subgroups

Recall that for a group $\Gamma$ its commutator subgroup is the subgroup $\mathcal{D}(\Gamma)$ generated by all the elements of the form $[g, h]=g h g^{-1} h^{-1}$. In this section we shall prove that the commutator subgroup of the topological full group of a minimal homeomorphism is simple. In our exposition we follow Section 3 of BM08].

Lemma 5.1 (Bezuglyi-Medynets BM08, Lemma 3.2). Let $\phi \in \operatorname{Homeo}(X)$ be a minimal homeomorphism. For any $g \in \llbracket \phi \rrbracket$ and $\delta>0$ there exist $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{m} \in \llbracket \phi \rrbracket$ such that $g=g_{1} \cdots g_{m}$ and $\mu\left(\operatorname{supp}\left(g_{i}\right)\right)<\delta$ for all $\mu \in \mathrm{M}(\phi)$.

Proof. Let $g \in \llbracket \phi \rrbracket$ be given and suppose first that $g$ is periodic. Since $g$ is an element of the topological full group, by Propositions 1.13 and 1.14 we can find non-empty clopen sets $\left\{A_{k}\right\}_{k \in I}$, where $I \subset \mathbb{Z}$ is finite such that the space $X$ decomposes into disjoint clopen sets

$$
X=\bigsqcup_{k \in I} \bigsqcup_{i=0}^{k-1} g^{i}\left(A_{k}\right),
$$

and $g^{k}(x)=x$ for all $x \in A_{k}$.
We now can decompose each $A_{k}$ into non-empty clopen subsets

$$
A_{k}=\bigsqcup_{j=1}^{n_{k}} B_{j}^{(k)}
$$

such that for each $k$ and each $1 \leq j \leq n_{k}$ we have $\mu\left(B_{j}^{(k)}\right)<\delta / k$ for all $\mu \in \mathrm{M}(\phi)$. We set

$$
C_{k, j}=\bigsqcup_{i=0}^{k-1} g^{i}\left(B_{j}^{(k)}\right)
$$

and $g_{k, j}=\left.g\right|_{C_{k, j}}$. It is easy to see that all the elements $g_{k, j} \in \llbracket \phi \rrbracket$, and $g=\prod_{k, j} g_{k, j}$.
We have proved the lemma for periodic homeomorphisms. We consider the case of a non-periodic $g \in \llbracket \phi \rrbracket$. Fix $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $1 / k<\delta$ and put

$$
X_{\geq k}=\left\{x \in X \mid \operatorname{Orb}_{g}(x) \text { has at least } k \text { elements }\right\} .
$$

Since $g \in \llbracket \phi \rrbracket$, by Proposition 1.13 the set $X_{\geq k}$ is clopen.
For any $x \in X_{\geq k}$ we can find a clopen neighbourhood $U_{x}$ such that $g^{i}\left(U_{x}\right) \cap U_{x}=\varnothing$ for all $1 \leq i<k$. By compactness of $X_{\geq k}$ we can find finitely many $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \in X_{\geq k}$ such that $X_{\geq k}$ is covered by $U_{x_{1}}, \ldots, U_{x_{n}}$. We now set $B_{1}=U_{x_{1}}$ and

$$
B_{l+1}=B_{l} \sqcup\left(U_{x_{l+1}} \backslash \bigcup_{i=-k+1}^{k-1} g^{i}\left(B_{l}\right)\right) .
$$

Set $B=B_{n}$. Note that $B$ is a maximal $k$-discrete set; in particular, the set $B$ meets every orbit of $g$ in $X_{\geq k}$, and $g^{i}(B) \cap B=\varnothing$ for all $1 \leq i<k$. This shows that $\mu(B) \leq 1 / k<\delta$ for all $\mu \in \mathrm{M}(\phi)$. Define

$$
g_{B}(x)= \begin{cases}g^{k}(x) & \text { if } x \in B \text { and } k=\min \left\{l \geq 1 \mid g^{l}(x) \in B\right\} \\ x & \text { if } x \notin B\end{cases}
$$

It is easy to see that $g_{B} \in \llbracket \phi \rrbracket, \mu\left(\operatorname{supp}\left(g_{B}\right)\right)<\delta$ and $g_{B}^{-1} \circ g$ is periodic. The lemma is proved by appealing to the earlier case of a periodic $g$.

Lemma 5.2 (Bezuglyi-Medynets BM08, Lemma 3.3). Let $H$ be a normal subgroup of a group $G$. If $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n} \in G$ and $h_{1}, \ldots, h_{m} \in G$ are such that $\left[g_{i}, h_{j}\right]$ belong to $H$ for any $i, j$, then the element $\left[g_{1} \cdots g_{n}, h_{1} \cdots h_{m}\right]$ also belongs to $H$. Moreover, the following identity holds:

$$
\left[g_{1} \cdots g_{n}, h_{1} \cdots h_{m}\right]=\prod_{p=n}^{1} \prod_{q=1}^{m} g_{1} \cdots g_{p-1} h_{1} \cdots h_{q-1}\left[g_{p}, h_{q}\right] h_{q-1}^{-1} \cdots h_{1}^{-1} g_{p-1}^{-1} \cdots g_{1}^{-1}
$$

Proof. It is straightforward to check that

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[g_{1} g_{2}, h_{i}\right] } & =g_{1}\left[g_{2}, h_{i}\right] g_{1}^{-1}\left[g_{1}, h_{i}\right] \\
{\left[g_{j}, h_{1} h_{2}\right] } & =\left[g_{j}, h_{1}\right] h_{1}\left[g_{j}, h_{2}\right] h_{1}^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

The general form now follows by induction from these identities.
Lemma 5.3 (Bezuglyi-Medynets BM08, Lemma 3.2). Let $\phi \in \operatorname{Homeo}(X)$ be a minimal homeomorphism. For any $f \in \mathcal{D}(\llbracket \phi \rrbracket)$ and $\delta>0$ there exist $g_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, g_{N}^{\prime} \in \llbracket \phi \rrbracket, h_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, h_{N}^{\prime} \in \llbracket \phi \rrbracket$ such that $f=\left[g_{1}^{\prime}, h_{1}^{\prime}\right] \cdots\left[g_{N}^{\prime}, h_{N}^{\prime}\right]$ and $\mu\left(\operatorname{supp}\left(g_{i}^{\prime}\right) \cup \operatorname{supp}\left(h_{i}^{\prime}\right)\right)<\delta$ for all $\mu \in \mathrm{M}(\phi)$.

Proof. Since $\mathcal{D}(\llbracket \phi \rrbracket)$ is generated by commutators $[g, h]$, it is enough to prove the lemma for elements of the form $[g, h]$. Fix a $\delta>0$ and using Lemma 5.1 we can find $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n} \in \llbracket \phi \rrbracket$ and $h_{1}, \ldots, h_{m} \in \llbracket \phi \rrbracket$ such that $g=g_{1} \cdots g_{n}, h=h_{1} \cdots h_{m}$ and $\operatorname{supp}\left(g_{i}\right)<\delta / 2, \operatorname{supp}\left(h_{j}\right)<\delta / 2$. By Lemma 5.2 we know that

$$
\left[g_{1} \cdots g_{n}, h_{1} \cdots h_{m}\right]=\prod_{p=n}^{1} \prod_{q=1}^{m} g_{1} \cdots g_{p-1} h_{1} \cdots h_{q-1}\left[g_{p}, h_{q}\right] h_{q-1}^{-1} \cdots h_{1}^{-1} g_{p-1}^{-1} \cdots g_{1}^{-1}
$$

Note that $\operatorname{supp}\left(\left[g_{i}, h_{j}\right]\right) \subseteq \operatorname{supp}\left(g_{i}\right) \cup \operatorname{supp}\left(h_{j}\right)$ and therefore $\mu\left(\operatorname{supp}\left(\left[g_{i}, h_{j}\right]\right)\right)<\delta$. Finally since any $f \in \llbracket \phi \rrbracket$ is $\mu$-preserving for all $\mu \in \mathrm{M}(\phi)$, and $\operatorname{since} \operatorname{supp}\left(f \alpha f^{-1}\right)=f(\operatorname{supp}(\alpha))$, we see that

$$
\operatorname{supp}\left(g_{1} \cdots g_{p-1} h_{1} \cdots h_{q-1}\left[g_{p}, h_{q}\right] h_{q-1}^{-1} \cdots h_{1}^{-1} g_{p-1}^{-1} \cdots g_{1}^{-1}\right)<\delta
$$

and also $g_{1} \cdots g_{p-1} h_{1} \cdots h_{q-1}\left[g_{p}, h_{q}\right] h_{q-1}^{-1} \cdots h_{1}^{-1} g_{p-1}^{-1} \cdots g_{1}^{-1} \in \mathcal{D}(\llbracket \phi \rrbracket)$, because $\mathcal{D}(\llbracket \phi \rrbracket)$ is normal in $\llbracket \phi \rrbracket$.
Lemma 5.4. Let $\phi \in \operatorname{Homeo}(X)$ be a minimal homeomorphism. If $A$ and $B$ are clopen subsets of $X$ such that $2 \mu(B)<\mu(A)$ for all $\mu \in \mathrm{M}(\phi)$, then there exists an $\alpha \in \mathcal{D}(\llbracket \phi \rrbracket)$ such that $\alpha(B) \subset A$.

Proof. By setting $\alpha$ to be id on $A \cap B$ we may assume that $A \cap B=\varnothing$. Applying Theorem 2.3 we can find $\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}$ in $\llbracket \phi \rrbracket$ such that $\alpha_{1}(B) \subseteq A$ and $\alpha_{2}\left(\alpha_{1}(B)\right) \subseteq A \backslash \alpha_{1}(B)$. Set $\alpha=\alpha_{1} a_{2}$. Therefore $\alpha(B)=\alpha_{1}(B) \subseteq A$. Since $\alpha_{2}=\alpha \alpha_{1}^{-1} \alpha^{-1}$, we get that $\alpha=\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}=\left[\alpha_{1}, \alpha\right]$.

Theorem 5.5 (Bezuglyi-Medynets BM08, Theorem 3.4). Let $\phi \in \operatorname{Homeo}(X)$ be a minimal homeomorphism. Let $\Gamma$ be either $\mathcal{D}(\llbracket \phi \rrbracket)$ or $\llbracket \phi \rrbracket$. If $H$ is a non-trivial normal subgroup of $\Gamma$, then $\mathcal{D}(\Gamma) \subseteq H$.

Proof. We show that for all $g, h \in \Gamma$ their commutator $[g, h]$ is in $H$. Pick any non-trivial element $f \in H$ and a non-empty clopen set $E$ such that $f(E) \cap E=\varnothing$. By compactness of the set $\mathrm{M}(\phi)$ we see that $2 \delta=\inf \{\mu(E) \mid \mu \in \mathrm{M}(\phi)\}>0$.

Using Lemma 5.1 and Lemma 5.3 we may find elements $g_{i}, h_{j} \in \Gamma$ such that $g=g_{1} \cdots g_{n}, h=h_{1} \cdots h_{m}$ and $\mu\left(\operatorname{supp}\left(g_{i}\right)\right)<\delta / 2, \mu\left(\operatorname{supp}\left(h_{j}\right)\right)<\delta / 2$ for all $\mu \in \mathrm{M}(\phi)$. In the view of Lemma 5.2 the proof would be over if we could show that for all $g, h \in \Gamma$ such that $\mu(\operatorname{supp}(g) \cup \operatorname{supp}(h))<\delta$ for all $\mu \in \mathrm{M}(\phi)$ we have $[g, h] \in H$.

Put $F=\operatorname{supp}(g) \cup \operatorname{supp}(h)$ and find by Lemma 5.4 an element $\alpha \in \mathcal{D}(\llbracket \phi \rrbracket)$ such that $\alpha(F) \subseteq E$. By normality $q=\alpha^{-1} f \alpha \in H$. Therefore $\hat{h}=[h, q]=h q h^{-1} q^{-1} \in H$, and $[g, \hat{h}] \in H$. Since $q(F) \cap F=\varnothing$, the elements $g^{-1}$ and $q h^{-1} q^{-1}$ commute. Whence

$$
[g, \hat{h}]=g\left(h g h^{-1} g^{-1}\right) g^{-1}\left(q h q^{-1} h^{-1}\right)=g h g^{-1} q h^{-1} q^{-1} q h q^{-1} h^{-1}=[g, h] \in H
$$

And so $\mathcal{D}(\Gamma) \leq H$.
Corollary 5.6 (Matui Mat06, Theorem 4.9). If $\phi \in \operatorname{Homeo}(X)$ is minimal, then $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{D}(\llbracket \phi \rrbracket))=\mathcal{D}(\llbracket \phi \rrbracket)$ and $\mathcal{D}(\llbracket \phi \rrbracket)$ is simple.

Proof. Since $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{D}(\llbracket \phi \rrbracket))$ is a normal subgroup of $\llbracket \phi \rrbracket$, we may apply Theorem 5.5 with $H=\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{D}(\llbracket \phi \rrbracket))$ and $\Gamma=\llbracket \phi \rrbracket$. This shows that $\mathcal{D}(\llbracket \phi \rrbracket) \leq \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{D}(\llbracket \phi \rrbracket))$, and therefore $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{D}(\llbracket \phi \rrbracket))=\mathcal{D}(\llbracket \phi \rrbracket)$.

To show the simplicity of $\mathcal{D}(\llbracket \phi \rrbracket)$ let $H$ be any non-trivial normal subgroup of $\mathcal{D}(\llbracket \phi \rrbracket)$. By another application of Theorem 5.5 we obtain $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{D}(\llbracket \phi \rrbracket)) \leq H$, and therefore $\mathcal{D}(\llbracket \phi \rrbracket)=H$.

## LECTURE 6

## Finite generation of commutator subgroups

Let $\phi \in \operatorname{Homeo}(X)$ be a minimal homeomorphism and let $U$ be a clopen subset of $X$ such that $\phi^{-1}(U)$, $U$, and $\phi(U)$ are pairwise disjoint. We define $\gamma_{U}$ to be the homeomorphism

$$
\gamma_{U}(x)= \begin{cases}\phi(x) & \text { if } x \in \phi^{-1}(U) \cup U \\ \phi^{-2}(x) & \text { if } x \in \phi(U) \\ x & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Lemma 6.1. Elements $\gamma_{U}$ are in the commutator subgroup $\mathcal{D}(\llbracket \phi \rrbracket)$.
Proof. Define an involution $g \in \llbracket \phi \rrbracket$ by

$$
g(x)= \begin{cases}\phi(x) & \text { if } x \in \phi^{-1}(U) \\ \phi^{-1}(x) & \text { if } x \in U\end{cases}
$$



Figure 7. Homeomorphisms $\gamma_{U}, g$, and $\gamma_{U} g^{-1} \gamma_{U}^{-1}$ showing $\gamma_{U}=\left[g, \gamma_{U}\right]$.
The equality $\gamma_{U}=\left[g, \gamma_{U}\right]$ corresponds to the following identity within the symmetric group on three elements:

$$
(01)(012)(01)(021)=(012)
$$

Let $H=\left\langle\gamma_{U}\right\rangle$ be the subgroup of $\llbracket \phi \rrbracket$, where $U$ ranges over clopen subsets such that $\phi^{-1}(U), U$, and $\phi(U)$ are pairwise disjoint. We shall show that $H$ is a normal subgroup of $\mathcal{D}(\llbracket \phi \rrbracket)$, and conclude using Corollary 5.6 that $H=\mathcal{D}(\llbracket \phi \rrbracket)$.

Lemma 6.2. If $g \in \llbracket \phi \rrbracket$ has order 3 , then $g \in H$.
Proof. Let $g \in \llbracket \phi \rrbracket$ be an element of order 3. By Propositions 1.13 and 1.14 we can find a clopen subset $A \subseteq X$ such that $A, g(A)$, and $g^{2}(A)$ are pairwise disjoint, and $\operatorname{supp}(g)=A \sqcup g(A) \sqcup g^{2}(A)$. Since $g \in \llbracket \phi \rrbracket$, we can find a partition $B_{1}, \ldots, B_{m}$ of $X$ and integers $r_{i}$ such that $\left.g\right|_{B_{i}}=\left.\phi^{r_{i}}\right|_{B_{i}}$. Let $\mathcal{P}_{0}, \mathcal{P}_{1}$, and $\mathcal{P}_{2}$ be partitions of $A$ defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{P}_{0}=\left\{B_{i} \cap A\right\}_{i \leq m} \\
& \mathcal{P}_{1}=g^{-1}\left\{B_{i} \cap g(A)\right\}_{i \leq m} \\
& \mathcal{P}_{2}=g^{-2}\left\{B_{i} \cap g^{2}(A)\right\}_{i \leq m}
\end{aligned}
$$

The common refinement of partitions $\mathcal{P}_{j}$ is a partition $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n}$ of $A$ such that for any $i \leq n$ there are integers $k_{i}$ and $l_{i}$ such that $\left.g\right|_{A_{i}}=\left.\phi^{k_{i}}\right|_{A_{i}},\left.g\right|_{g\left(A_{i}\right)}=\left.\phi^{l_{i}}\right|_{g\left(A_{i}\right)},\left.g\right|_{g^{2}\left(A_{i}\right)}=\left.\phi^{-k_{i}-l_{i}}\right|_{g^{2}\left(A_{i}\right)}$. Let $g_{i}$ be the restriction of $g$ onto $A_{i} \cup g\left(A_{i}\right) \cup g^{2}\left(A_{i}\right)$. Elements $g_{i}$ commute and $g=g_{1} \cdots g_{n}$.

It is therefore enough to prove the lemma for elements $g \in \llbracket \phi \rrbracket, g^{3}=\mathrm{id}$, for which there is a clopen set $A$ and two integers $k, l$ such that $A, g(A)$, and $g^{2}(A)$ partition the support of $g$, and $\left.g\right|_{A}=\left.\phi^{k}\right|_{A}$, $\left.g\right|_{g(A)}=\left.\phi^{l}\right|_{g(A)}$. Fix such a $g$. For any $x \in A$ there is a clopen neighbourhood $x \in U \subseteq A$ such that $\phi^{i}(U) \cap \phi^{j}(U)=\varnothing$ for all $0 \leq i, j \leq k+l, i \neq j$. By compactness, we may find a finite family of these
neighbourhoods $U_{j}, j \leq N$, that covers all of $A$. Let $C_{1}, \ldots, C_{p}$ be the partition of $A$ generated by $U_{j}$. Let $g_{i}$ be the restriction of $g$ onto the set $C_{i} \cup g\left(C_{i}\right) \cup g^{2}\left(C_{i}\right)$. Elements $g_{i}$ commute and $g=g_{1} \cdots g_{p}$.

It is therefore enough to prove the lemma for elements $g \in \llbracket \phi \rrbracket, g^{3}=\mathrm{id}$, for which there is a clopen set $A$ and two integers $k, l$ such that $A, g(A)$, and $g^{2}(A)$ partition the support of $g,\left.g\right|_{A}=\left.\phi^{k}\right|_{A},\left.g\right|_{g(A)}=\left.\phi^{l}\right|_{g(A)}$, and $\phi^{i}(A) \cap \phi^{j}(A)=\varnothing$ for all $0 \leq i, j \leq k+l, i \neq l$. Such an element can naturally be regarded as an element in $S_{k+l+1}$ and $\gamma_{\phi^{i}(A)}$ corresponds to a cyclic permutation $(i-1 i i+1)$, which generate the alternate subgroup $A_{k+l+1} \triangleleft S_{k+l+1}$. It remains to note that since $g$ has an odd order, its signature is 0 , whence $g \in A_{k+l+1}$.

Exercise 6.3. Prove that for any $n \geq 3$ the group $A_{n} \triangleleft S_{n}$ is generated by elements ( $i-1 i i+1$ ) for $2 \leq i<n$.

Lemma 6.4. The subgroup $H \leq \mathcal{D}(\llbracket \phi \rrbracket)$ is normal. Since $\mathcal{D}(\llbracket \phi \rrbracket)$ is simple, it follows that $H=\mathcal{D}(\llbracket \phi \rrbracket)$.
Proof. It is enough to show that for $\gamma_{U} \in H$, and any $f \in \mathcal{D}(\llbracket \phi \rrbracket)$ (or even $f \in \llbracket \phi \rrbracket$ ), we have $f \gamma_{U} f^{-1} \in H$. Since $f \gamma_{U} f^{-1}$ has order 3 , this follows from Lemma 6.2.

If $U \subseteq X$ is clopen and $\phi^{-2}(U), \phi^{-1}(U), U, \phi(U)$, and $\phi^{2}(U)$ are pairwise disjoint, we set $\tau_{U}=$ $\gamma_{\phi^{-1}(U)} \gamma_{\phi(U)}$.


Figure 8. Homeomorphism $\tau_{U}=\gamma_{\phi^{-1}(U)} \gamma_{\phi(U)}$.

Lemma 6.5. Let $U$ and $V$ be clopen subsets of $X$.
(i) If $\phi^{-2}(V), \phi^{-1}(V), V, \phi(V)$, and $\phi^{2}(V)$ are pairwise disjoint and $U \subseteq V$, then $\tau_{V} \gamma_{U} \tau_{V}^{-1}=\gamma_{\phi(U)}$ and $\tau_{V}^{-1} \gamma_{U} \tau_{V}=\gamma_{\phi^{-1}(U)}$; see Figure 9 .


Figure 9. $\tau_{V} \gamma_{U} \tau_{V}^{-1}=\gamma_{\phi(U)}$.
(ii) If $\phi^{-1}(U), U, \phi(U) \cup \phi^{-1}(V), V$, and $\phi(V)$ are pairwise disjoint, then $\left[\gamma_{V}, \gamma_{U}^{-1}\right]=\gamma_{\phi(U) \cap \phi^{-1}(V)}$; see Figure 10.

Proof. (i) We may write $\tau_{V}=\tau_{U} \tau_{V \backslash U}$, and using that the support of $\tau_{V \backslash U}$ is disjoint from supports of other homeomorphisms, we get

$$
\tau_{V} \gamma_{U} \tau_{V}^{-1}=\tau_{U} \gamma_{U} \tau_{U}^{-1}=\gamma_{\phi(U)}
$$

where the last identity is a consequence of the following identity on permutations

$$
(01234)(123)(04321)=(012)
$$

Equality $\tau_{V}^{-1} \gamma_{U} \tau_{V}=\gamma_{\phi^{-1}(U)}$ is checked similarly.


Figure 10. $\left[\gamma_{V}, \gamma_{U}^{-1}\right]=\gamma_{\phi(U) \cap \phi^{-1}(V)}$.
(iii) Let $C=\phi(U) \cap \phi^{-1}(V)$. We may decompose $\gamma_{U}=\gamma_{\phi^{-1}(C)} \gamma_{U \backslash \phi^{-1}(C)}$ and $\gamma_{V}=\gamma_{\phi(C)} \gamma_{V \backslash \phi(C)}$. Using the disjointness of support argument as in the previous item, one sees that

$$
\left[\gamma_{V}, \gamma_{U}^{-1}\right]=\left[\gamma_{\phi(C)}, \gamma_{\phi^{-1}(C)}^{-1}\right]=\gamma_{\phi(C)} \gamma_{\phi^{-1}(C)}^{-1} \gamma_{\phi(C)}^{-1} \gamma_{\phi^{-1}(C)}=\phi_{C}
$$

where the last equality is equivalent to

$$
(234)(021)(243)(012)=(123)
$$

Theorem 6.6 (Matui Mat06, Theorem 5.4). Let $\phi \in \operatorname{Homeo}(X)$ be minimal. The commutator subgroup $\mathcal{D}(\llbracket \phi \rrbracket)$ is finitely generated if and only if $(X, \phi)$ is conjugate to a minimal subshift.

Proof. $\Longrightarrow$ Suppose $\mathcal{D}(\llbracket \phi \rrbracket)$ is finitely generated, and let $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{m} \in \mathcal{D}(\llbracket \phi \rrbracket)$ be a finite set of generators, $n_{i}$ be the corresponding cocycles $g_{i}(x)=\phi^{n_{i}(x)}(x)$, and $\mathcal{P}$ be the common refinement of partitions $\left\{n_{i}^{-1}(k)\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$. Let $s: \mathcal{P}^{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ be the shift map. We define a continuous map $\pi: X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ by $\phi^{k}(x) \in \pi(x)(k)$. Note that $\pi$ is a factor map from $(X, \phi)$ to $(\pi(X), s)$. Define homeomorphisms $f_{i} \in \operatorname{Homeo}(\pi(X))$ by $f_{i}(z)=s^{k}(z)$ when $z(0) \subseteq n_{i}^{-1}(k)$. It is easy to see that $f_{i} \in \llbracket s \rrbracket$ and $\pi g_{i}=f_{i} \pi$. It remains to show that $\pi$ is injective.

Suppose $x, y \in X$ are distinct and $\pi(x)=\pi(y)$, pick $g \in \mathcal{D}(\llbracket \phi \rrbracket)$ such that $g(x) \neq x$ and $g(y)=y$. Write $g$ as $g_{i_{1}}^{r_{1}} \cdots g_{i_{l}}^{r_{l}}$. Since $\pi g_{i}=f_{i} \pi$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi g(x) & =\pi g_{i_{1}}^{r_{1}} \cdots g_{i_{l}}^{r_{l}}(x) \\
& =f_{i_{1}}^{r_{1}} \cdots f_{i_{l}}^{r_{l}} \pi(x) \\
& =f_{i_{1}}^{r_{1}} \cdots f_{i_{l}}^{r_{l}} \pi(y) \\
& =\pi g_{i_{1}}^{r_{1}} \cdots g_{i_{l}}^{r_{l}}(y) \\
& =\pi g(y)=\pi(y)=\pi(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

whence $s^{k} \pi(x)=\pi \phi^{k}(x)=\pi(x)$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, contradicting the minimality of $s$.
$\Longleftarrow$ Suppose $(X, \phi)$ is conjugate to a minimal subshift. Without loss of generality we may assume that $X$ is a shift invariant closed subset of $A^{\mathbb{Z}}$, where $A$ is finite. Moreover, we may assume that $x(i) \neq x(j)$ for all $x \in X$ and $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $|i-j| \leq 4$. We define cylinder sets by

$$
\left\langle\left\langle a_{-m} \cdots a_{-1} \underline{a_{0}} a_{1} \cdots a_{n}\right\rangle\right\rangle=\left\{x \in X \mid x(i)=a_{i},-m \leq i \leq n\right\}
$$

for $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $a_{i} \in A$. Because of our assumptions, sets $\phi^{-2}(U), \phi^{-1}(U), U, \phi^{2}(U)$ are disjoint for any cylinder set $U$. Let $H$ be the subgroup of $\mathcal{D}(\llbracket \phi \rrbracket)$ generated by the finite set of elements

$$
\left\{\gamma_{U} \mid U=\langle\langle a \underline{b} c\rangle\rangle, a, b, c \in A\right\}
$$

We claim that $H=\mathcal{D}(\llbracket \phi \rrbracket)$, and for this it is enough to show that $\gamma_{U} \in H$ for any cylinder set $U$. From

$$
\gamma_{\phi(\langle\langle\underline{a}\rangle\rangle)}=\prod_{b \in A} \gamma_{\langle\langle a \underline{b}\rangle\rangle}, \quad \gamma_{\phi^{-1}(\langle\langle\underline{a}\rangle\rangle)}=\prod_{b \in A} \gamma_{\langle\langle\underline{b} a\rangle\rangle}
$$

we conclude $\gamma_{\phi(\langle\langle\underline{a}\rangle\rangle)} \in H$ and $\gamma_{\phi^{-1}(\langle\langle\underline{a}\rangle\rangle)} \in H$, and therefore also $\tau_{\langle\langle\underline{a}\rangle\rangle}$. For a cylindrical set

$$
U=\left\langle\left\langle a_{-m} \cdots a_{-1} \underline{a_{0}} a_{1} \cdots a_{n}\right\rangle\right\rangle \subseteq\left\langle\left\langle\underline{a_{0}}\right\rangle\right\rangle=V
$$

an application of Lemma 6.5 implies

$$
\tau_{\left\langle\left\langle\underline{a_{0}}\right\rangle\right\rangle} \gamma_{U} \tau_{\left\langle\left\langle\underline{a_{0}}\right\rangle\right.}^{-1}=\gamma_{\phi(U)}, \quad \tau_{\left\langle\left\langle\underline{a_{0}}\right\rangle\right\rangle}^{-1} \gamma_{U} \tau_{\left\langle\left\langle\underline{a_{0}}\right\rangle\right.}=\gamma_{\phi^{-1}(U)},
$$

whence it suffices to show that $\gamma_{U}$ can be generated for every cylinder set $U=\left\langle\left\langle a_{-m} \cdots a_{-1} \underline{a_{0}} a_{1}\right\rangle\right\rangle$. The latter follows by induction from the second item of Lemma 6.5 with $U=\left\langle\left\langle a_{-m} \cdots \underline{a_{0}} a_{1}\right\rangle\right\rangle$ and $V \overline{=}\left\langle\left\langle a_{1} \underline{a_{2}}\right\rangle\right\rangle$.

## LECTURE 7

## Bratteli diagrams and Vershik maps

## 1. Bratteli diagrams

Our main reference for this lecture is the work of R. Herman, I. Putnam, and C. Skau HPS92.
A Bratteli diagram consists of a vertex set $V$ graded as a disjoint union of non-empty finite sets $V=$ $\bigsqcup_{n=0}^{\infty} V_{n}$ and an edge set $E=\bigsqcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_{n}$, where the sets $E_{n}$ are all non-empty and finite, together with source maps $s: E_{n} \rightarrow V_{n-1}$ and range maps $r: E_{n} \rightarrow V_{n}$ which are both assumed to be surjective. We also require that $V_{0}$ consists of a single element $V_{0}=\{\varnothing\}$.

An ordered Bratteli diagram is a Bratteli diagram $(V, E)$ together with a partial ordering $\leq$ on the edge set $E$ such that $e_{1}, e_{2} \in E$ are comparable if and only if $r\left(e_{1}\right)=r\left(e_{2}\right)$. In other words, an ordered Bratteli diagram is a Bratteli diagram such that for any vertex all the edges coming into this vertex are linearly ordered.

Let $(V, E, \leq)$ be an ordered Bratteli diagram. An edge $e \in E$ is said to be minimal (resp. maximal) if it is the minimal (resp. the maximal) element of the set $r^{-1}(r(e))$. The sets of minimal and maximal elements in $E$ are denoted by $E_{\text {min }}$ and $E_{\text {max }}$ respectively.


Figure 11. A Bratteli diagram, an ordered Bratteli diagram, $E_{\min }$, and $E_{\max }$.
We recall that a rooted tree is an acyclic connected graph with a distinguished vertex-the root of the tree.

Proposition 7.1. The graphs $\left(V, E_{\max }\right)$ and $\left(V, E_{\min }\right)$ are rooted trees with $\varnothing$ being their root.
Proof. Pick a vertex $v \in V$. Let $k$ be such that $v \in V_{k}$ and put $v_{k}=v$. Since the set $r^{-1}(v)$ is linearly ordered, there is a unique maximal element $e_{k} \in r^{-1}\left(v_{k}\right)$; put $v_{k-1}=s\left(e_{k}\right)$. Similarly, there is a unique $e_{k-1} \in E_{\max }$ such that $r\left(e_{k-1}\right)=v_{k-1}$. Continuing this argument we construct a sequence $e_{k}, \ldots, e_{1}$ such that $e_{i} \in E_{\max }$ and $s\left(e_{1}\right)=\varnothing$. This proves that every vertex $v \in V$ is connected within $E_{\max }$ to the root $\varnothing$, and so $\left(V, E_{\max }\right)$ is a connected graph. To show that $\left(V, E_{\max }\right)$ is acyclic let $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{m} \in E_{\max }$ and $e_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, e_{n}^{\prime} \in E_{\max }$ be two simple paths from $\varnothing$ to a vertex $v \in V$; note that $s\left(e_{1}\right)=\varnothing=s\left(e_{1}^{\prime}\right)$. Since $e_{i} \in E_{\max }$, we cannot have $r\left(e_{i}\right)=r\left(e_{i+1}\right)$, therefore we must necessarily have $r\left(e_{i}\right)=s\left(e_{i+1}\right)$ and therefore also $m=n, r\left(e_{m}\right)=r\left(e_{n}^{\prime}\right)$. But this implies $e_{m}=e_{n}^{\prime}$, and therefore inductively $e_{i}=e_{i}^{\prime}$ for all $i$. This proves that $\left(V, E_{\max }\right)$ is a tree. The proof for $\left(V, E_{\min }\right)$ is similar.

Note that $E_{\max }$ and $E_{\min }$ are trees with finite splitting, and therefore by König's Lemma there are infinite branches $e_{\max }$ in $E_{\max }$ and $e_{\min }$ in $E_{\min }$. Note that it is possible that $e_{\min }=e_{\max }$.
Definition 7.2. An ordered Bratteli diagram ( $V, E, \leq$ ) is called essentially simple if the trees $E_{\min }$ and $E_{\text {max }}$ have unique infinite branches $e_{\min }$ and $e_{\max }$.

Up to now we used the word "path" in the sense of graph theory. Since Bratteli diagrams are graded, it will be convenient to modify the notion of path. Let $(V, E)$ be a Bratteli diagram. A path from a vertex
$v \in V_{k}$ to a vertex $u \in V_{l}, k<l$, is a sequence of edges $e_{k+1}, \ldots, e_{l}$ such that $e_{i} \in V_{i}, s\left(e_{k+1}\right)=v, r\left(e_{l}\right)=u$ and $s\left(e_{i+1}\right)=r\left(e_{i}\right)$ for all $k+1 \leq i<l$. We use $P(v, u)$ to denote the set of all paths between $v$ and $u$ and

$$
P\left(V_{k}, V_{l}\right)=\bigsqcup_{\substack{v \in V_{k} \\ u \in V_{l}}} P(v, u)
$$

An infinite path in a Bratteli diagram is a sequence of edges $e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots$ such that $e_{i} \in E_{i}$ and $r\left(e_{i}\right)=s\left(e_{i+1}\right)$.
With any Bratteli diagram $B=(V, E)$ we associate the Bratteli compactum: the space $X_{B}$ of all infinite paths in $B$. By definition $X_{B} \subseteq \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} E_{n}$ and we endow $X_{B}$ with the induced product topology. This makes $X_{B}$ into a compact metrizable zero-dimensional space. Note that $X_{B}$ is a Cantor space if and only if it has no isolated points.

## 2. Vershik maps

Let $B=(V, E, \leq)$ be an essentially simple Bratteli diagram. The Vershik map $\phi_{B}: X_{B} \rightarrow X_{B}$ is defined as follows. First of all we define $\phi_{B}\left(e_{\max }\right)=e_{\min }$. If $x \in X_{B}$ is a non-maximal infinite path, let $n$ be the smallest such that $x(n) \notin E_{\max }$. Let $e_{n}>x(n)$ be the successor of $x(n)$ in $r^{-1}(r(x(n)))$. Let $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n-1}$ be the path from $\varnothing$ to $s\left(e_{n}\right)$ within $E_{\text {min }}$. We set

$$
\phi_{B}(x)(m)= \begin{cases}e_{m} & \text { if } m \leq n \\ x(m) & \text { if } m>n\end{cases}
$$



Figure 12. Vershik map acting on an ordered Bratteli diagram.

Proposition 7.3. Let $B=(V, E, \leq)$ be an essentially simple ordered Bratteli diagram. The Vershik map $\phi_{B}: X_{B} \rightarrow X_{B}$ is a homeomorphism.

Proof. We first show that $\phi_{B}$ is a bijection. Define the map $\psi_{B}: X_{B} \rightarrow X_{B}$ by $\psi_{B}\left(e_{\min }\right)=e_{\max }$ and for a non-minimal path $x$ we take $n$ to be minimal such that $x(n) \notin E_{\min }$. Let $e_{n}<x(n)$ be the predecessor of $x(n)$ in $r^{-1}(r(x(n)))$ and let $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n-1}$ be the path from $\varnothing$ to $s\left(e_{n}\right)$ within $E_{\text {max }}$. We set

$$
\psi_{B}(x)(m)= \begin{cases}e_{m} & \text { if } m \leq n \\ x(m) & \text { if } m>n\end{cases}
$$

Is is straightforward to check that $\phi_{B} \circ \psi_{B}=\mathrm{id}=\psi_{B} \circ \phi_{B}$, and therefore $\phi_{B}$ is a bijection. Since the definition of $\phi_{B}$ is local, it is obviously continuous as a map $\phi_{B}: X_{B} \backslash\left\{e_{\max }\right\} \rightarrow X_{B} \backslash\left\{e_{\min }\right\}$. The continuity at the point $e_{\max }$ is also straightforward to check.

Proposition 7.4. Let $B=(V, E, \leq)$ be an essentially simple Bratteli diagram, and $\phi_{B}: X_{B} \rightarrow X_{B}$ be the Vershik map. Pick an $x \in X_{B}$ and a natural number $M$.
(i) There exists $k_{1} \geq 0$ such that $\phi_{B}^{-k_{1}}(x)(i) \in E_{\min }$ for all $i \leq M$.
(ii) There exists $k_{2} \geq 0$ such that $\phi_{B}^{k_{2}}(x)(i) \in E_{\max }$ for all $i \leq M$.
(iii) With $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ defined as above, $\left.\phi_{B}^{-k_{1}+j}(x)\right|_{M}, 0 \leq j \leq k_{2}+k_{1}$, is an enumeration of all the paths $P(\varnothing, r(x(M)))$.

Proof. (i) We prove the statement by induction on $M$. If $M=1$, the statement is obvious from the definition of $\psi_{B}$ —the inverse of $\phi_{B}$. For the induction step let $x \in X_{B}$ and $M$ be given. By inductive hypothesis there is $l_{1}$ such that $\phi^{-l_{1}}(x)(i) \in E_{\min }$ for all $i \leq M-1$. Therefore $\phi^{-l_{1}-1}(x)(i) \in E_{\max }$ for all $i \leq M-1$ and $\phi^{-l_{1}-1}(x)(M)$ is the predecessor of $x(M)$. We therefore may continue and find $l_{2}$ such that $\phi^{-l_{1}-1-l_{2}}(x)(i) \in E_{\min }$ for all $i \leq M-1$, hence $\phi^{-l_{1}-1-l_{2}-1}(x)(M)$ is the predecessor of $\phi^{-l_{1}-1}(x)(M)$, etc. For some $p \geq 1$ and

$$
-k_{1}=-l_{1}-1-l_{2}-1-\cdots-l_{p-1}-1-l_{p}
$$

we have $\phi^{-k_{1}}(x)(i) \in E_{\text {min }}$ for all $i \leq M$.
Item (iii) is a statement symmetric to item (i), and (iii) is proved similarly by induction on $M$.
Definition 7.5. A Bratteli diagram $(V, E)$ is called simple if for every $m$ there is $n>m$ such that from any vertex in $V_{m}$ there is path to any vertex in $V_{n}$. An ordered Bratteli diagram $B=(V, E, \leq)$ is called simple if it is essentially simple as an ordered diagram, and simple in the above sense as an unordered diagram $(V, E)$.

Note that if $B=(V, E)$ is simple, then $X_{B}$ is a Cantor space.
Proposition 7.6. Let $B=(V, E, \leq)$ be an essentially simple ordered Bratteli diagram. The Vershik map $\phi_{B}: X_{B} \rightarrow X_{B}$ is minimal if and only if $B$ is simple.

Proof. Suppose $B$ is simple. In order to prove the minimality of $\phi_{B}$ it is enough to show that for any $x \in X_{B}$, any $y \in X_{B}$, and any $M$ there exists $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\phi^{n}(x)(i)=y(i)$ for all $i \leq M$. Since the diagram is assumed to be minimal, we may find an $N$ such that any vertex in $V_{M}$ is connected to any vertex in $V_{N}$. Let $v=r(x(N))$ and $u=r(y(M))$. By the choice of $N$ we can find a path from $u$ to $v$, and hence we can find some $z \in X_{B}$ (see Figure 13) such that

$$
z(i)= \begin{cases}y(i) & \text { if } i \leq M \\ x(i) & \text { if } i>N\end{cases}
$$

By item (iii) of Proposition 7.4 there is an $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\phi_{B}^{n}(x)=z$. Therefore also $\phi^{n}(x)(i)=y(i)$ for all $i \leq M$, hence $\phi_{B}$ is minimal.


Figure 13. Paths $x, y$, and $z$.
For the inverse implication we prove the contrapositive. Suppose $B$ is not simple: there is $m$ such that for any $n>m$ there are $u_{n} \in V_{m}$ and $v_{n} \in V_{n}$ such that $P\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)$ is empty. Since $V_{m}$ is finite, there is $u \in V_{m}$, an increasing sequence $n_{k}$, and $v_{k} \in V_{n_{k}}$ such that $P\left(u, v_{k}\right)$ is empty. Let $y_{k} \in X_{B}$ be such that $r\left(y_{k}\left(n_{k}\right)\right)=v_{k}$. By compactness of $X_{B}$ we may find a converging subsequence; let $y \in X_{B}$ be a limit point of $\left(y_{k}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$. Note that $P(u, r(y(i)))$ is empty for all $i>m$, because if there were a path from $u$ to $r\left(y\left(i_{0}\right)\right)$ for some $i_{0}$, then we would find a big enough $k$ such that $n_{k} \geq i_{0}$, and $y$ would agree with $y_{k}$ up to index $i_{0}$, hence there would be a path from $u$ to $v_{k}$ contrary to the assumption.

Pick $x \in X_{B}$ such that $r(x(m))=u$. Suppose towards the contradiction that $\phi_{B}$ is minimal. Then we can find $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\phi_{B}^{k}(y)(i)=x(i)$ for all $i \leq m$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $\phi_{B}^{k}(y)$ is tail equivalent to $y$ (this is because by minimality we may find both a negative and a positive such $k \in \mathbb{Z})$ and therefore $\phi_{B}^{k}(y)(N)=y(N)$ for all large enough $N$. This implies $P(u, r(y(N)))$ is non-empty, contradicting the construction of $y$.

## LECTURE 8

## Minimal homeomorphisms as Vershik maps

## 1. Realization of homeomorphisms

Theorem 8.1 (Herman-Putnam-Skau HPS92, Theorem 4.6). Let $\phi \in \operatorname{Homeo}(X)$ be a minimal homeomorphism and $x \in X$, then there is a simple Bratteli diagram $B=(V, E, \leq)$ such that $(\phi, X, x)$ and $\left(\phi_{B}, X_{B}, e_{\min }\right)$ are conjugated.

Proof. Using Proposition 1.16 we can find a sequence of Kakutani-Rokhlin partitions

$$
\Xi_{n}=\left\{D^{(n)}(i, j) \mid 1 \leq i \leq K^{(n)}, 0 \leq j<J_{i}^{(n)}\right\}
$$

with bases $D^{(n)}=\bigsqcup_{i} D^{(n)}(i, 0)$ such that
(i) $\Xi_{0}=\{X\}$;
(ii) $D^{(n+1)} \subseteq D^{(n)}$ for all $n$;
(iii) $\Xi_{n+1}$ refines $\Xi_{n}$;
(iv) $\bigcap_{n} D^{(n)}=\{x\}$;
(v) $\bigcup_{n} \Xi_{n}$ generates the topology of $X$.

The Bratteli diagram $B=(V, E, \leq)$ is constructed out of this sequence as follows. Vertices of $V_{n}$ are the towers of $\Xi_{n}$ : $V_{n}=\mathcal{T}\left(\Xi_{n}\right)$. For each inclusion $D^{(n+1)}(i, j) \subset D^{(n)}(k, 0)$ we put an edge between $T_{k}^{(n)}$ and $T_{i}^{(n+1)}$. Edges are ordered in a natural way: if $e_{1}$ corresponds to an inclusion $D^{(n+1)}\left(i, j_{1}\right) \subset D^{(n)}(k, 0)$ and $e_{2}$ to $D^{(n+1)}\left(i, j_{2}\right) \subset D^{(n)}(k, 0)$, then $e_{1} \leq e_{2}$ whenever $j_{1} \leq j_{2}$. Figure 14 gives an instructive example. Note that $B$ is essentially simple with $e_{\text {min }}$ corresponding to inclusions $D^{(n+1)}(i, 0) \subseteq D^{(n)}(j, 0)$, and $e_{\max }$ corresponding to inclusions $D^{(n+1)}\left(i, J_{i}^{(n+1)}-J_{j}^{(n)}\right) \subseteq D^{(n)}(j, 0)$. Indeed, if there were two minimal paths corresponding to inclusions $D^{(n+1)}\left(i_{n+1}, 0\right) \subseteq D^{(n)}\left(i_{n}, 0\right)$ and $D^{(n+1)}\left(j_{n+1}, 0\right) \subseteq D^{(n)}\left(j_{n}, 0\right)$, then we would have

$$
\bigcap_{n} D^{(n)}\left(i_{n}, 0\right)=\{x\}=\bigcap_{n} D^{(n)}\left(j_{n}, 0\right),
$$

which is impossible if $i_{n} \neq j_{n}$ for some $n$. Note also that we can always reorder the towers in $\Xi_{n}$ to assure that $e_{\min }$ corresponds to inclusions $D^{(n+1)}(1,0) \subseteq D^{(n)}(1,0)$, and $e_{\max }$ to $D^{(n+1)}\left(K^{(n)}, J_{K^{(n+1)}}^{(n+1)}-J_{K^{(n)}}^{(n)}\right) \subseteq$ $D^{(n)}\left(K^{(n+1)}, 0\right)$.

Our goal is to show that $(\phi, X, x)$ is conjugated to $\left(\phi_{B}, X_{B}, e_{\min }\right)$. The conjugation map $\xi: X \rightarrow X_{B}$ is defined as follows. Pick an $x \in X$ and $n \geq 1$. Let $D^{(n-1)}\left(i_{n-1}, j_{n-1}\right)$ and $D^{(n)}\left(i_{n}, j_{n}\right)$ be the elements of partitions $\Xi_{n-1}$ and $\Xi_{n}$ that contain $x$. Therefore $j_{n-1} \leq j_{n}$ and $D^{(n)}\left(i_{n}, j_{n}-j_{n-1}\right) \subseteq D^{(n-1)}\left(i_{n-1}, 0\right)$ and we let $\xi(x)(n)$ to be the edge $e$ that corresponds to this inclusion. In particular, $r(e)=T_{i_{n}}^{(n)}$ and $s(e)=T_{i_{n-1}}^{(n-1)}$. An example is shown in Figure 15 .

We claim that for any $x \in X$ the initial path of $\xi(x)$ of length $n$ determines precisely the element $D^{(n)}(i, j)$ such that $x \in D^{(n)}(i, j)$ (see Figure 15). More formally,

$$
\forall i \leq n \xi(x)(i)=\xi(y)(i) \Longleftrightarrow x \text { and } y \text { are in the same atom of } \Xi_{n}
$$

$\Leftarrow$ is obvious. We prove $\Rightarrow$ by induction on $n$. For the base of induction we note that $\Xi_{0}=\{X\}$ implies that $\xi(x)(1)$ are in one-to-one correspondence with elements of $\Xi_{1}$. Suppose $\xi(x)(i)=\xi(y)(i)$ for all $i \leq n$. The edge $\xi(x)(n)$ corresponds to an inclusion $D^{(n)}\left(i_{n}, k\right) \subseteq D^{(n-1)}\left(i_{n-1}, 0\right)$. By inductive assumption $x$ and $y$ are in the same atom $D^{(n-1)}\left(i_{n-1}, j_{n-1}\right)$ of $D^{(n-1)}$, therefore $x, y \in D^{n}\left(i_{n}, k+j_{n-1}\right)$.

From the above claim properties of $\xi$ are almost obvious. It is easy to see that $\xi$ is continuous and bijective (injectivity follows from item (v)), hence $\xi$ is a homeomorphisms. It is straightforward to check that $\xi \circ \phi=\phi_{B} \circ \xi$.


Figure 14. Construction of a Bratteli diagram out of Kakutani-Rokhlin partitions.

Remark 8.2. Note that given a Bratteli diagram $B=(V, E, \leq)$ we can reconstruct a sequence of KakutaniRokhlin partitions: for a path $p$ from $\varnothing$ to $u \in V_{n}$ we set

$$
C(p)=\left\{x \in X_{B} \mid x(i)=p(i) \forall i \leq n\right\}
$$

and $\Xi_{n}=\left\{C(p) \mid p \in P\left(V_{0}, V_{n}\right)\right\}$. Therefore any Vershik map $\phi_{B}$ that realizes a minimal homeomorphism $\phi$ is constructed as in Theorem 8.1.

## 2. Telescoping diagrams

In view of Remark 8.2 it is natural to ask: When does two simple ordered Bratteli diagrams give rise to isomorphic Vershik maps? In this section we give a complete answer to this question.

Definition 8.3. Let $B=(V, E)$ be a Bratteli diagram and let $\left(n_{k}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an increasing sequence of natural numbers with $n_{0}=0$. A telescope of $B$ with respect to $\left(n_{k}\right)$ is a Bratteli diagram $B^{\prime}=\left(V^{\prime}, E^{\prime}\right)$ defined by $V_{k}^{\prime}=V_{n_{k}}$ and $E_{k}^{\prime}=P\left(V_{n_{k-1}}, V_{n_{k}}\right)$. More precisely, for each path $e_{n_{k-1}+1}, \ldots, e_{n_{k}}$ in $B$ with $s\left(e_{n_{k-1}+1}\right)=$ $u \in V_{n_{k-1}}, r\left(e_{n_{k}}\right)=v \in V_{n_{k}}$ we have an edge $e^{\prime} \in E_{k}^{\prime}$ with $s^{\prime}\left(e^{\prime}\right)=u$ and $r^{\prime}\left(e^{\prime}\right)=v$ (see Figure 16).


Figure 15. A point $x \in D(1,11)$ will have an image $\xi(x)$.


Figure 16. Four levels of a Bratteli diagram $B$ and two levels of $B^{\prime}$ with $n_{1}=2$ and $n_{2}=4$.

If $B=(V, E, \leq)$ is an ordered Bratteli diagram to begin with, then for any two levels $k<l$ and $v \in V_{l}$ we have a natural ordering on $P\left(V_{k}, v\right)$ : a path $e_{k+1}, \ldots, e_{l}$ is less than a path $f_{k+1}, \ldots, f_{l}$, where $r\left(e_{l}\right)=v=r\left(f_{l}\right)$ and $s\left(e_{k+1}\right), s\left(f_{k+1}\right) \in V_{k}$, if for the largest $k<m \leq l$ with $e_{m} \neq f_{m}$ we have $e_{m}<f_{m}$.

If now $B$ is an ordered Bratteli diagram and $\left(n_{k}\right)$ is an increasing sequence with $n_{0}=0$, then the telescope $B^{\prime}$ of $B$ is also an ordered Bratteli diagram, when edges are endowed with this ordering. If $B$ is essentially simple, then so is $B^{\prime}$.

An increasing sequence of integers $\left(n_{k}\right)$ with $n_{0}=0$ will be called a telescoping sequence.
Proposition 8.4. Let $B$ be an essentially simple Bratteli diagram and $\left(n_{k}\right)$ be a telescoping sequence; let $B^{\prime}$ the telescope of $B$ with respect to $\left(n_{k}\right)$. Homeomorphisms $\left(X_{B}, \phi_{B}, e_{\min }\right)$ and $\left(X_{B^{\prime}}, \phi_{B^{\prime}}, e_{\min }^{\prime}\right)$ are conjugated.

Proof. The conjugation $\xi: X_{B} \rightarrow X_{B^{\prime}}$ is defined as follows. For $x \in X_{B}, \xi(x)(k)$ is defined to be the edge that corresponds to the path $x\left(n_{k-1}+1\right), \ldots, x\left(n_{k}\right)$. It is obvious that $\xi: X_{B} \rightarrow X_{B^{\prime}}$ is a homeomorphism, and $\xi \circ \phi_{B}=\phi_{B^{\prime}} \circ \xi$.

Remark 8.5. In the context of Theorem 8.1, telescoping of Bratteli diagrams corresponds to taking subsequences of Kakutani-Rokhlin partitions.

Definition 8.6. We say that two ordered Bratteli diagrams $B$ and $B^{\prime}$ are equivalent, if there is a sequence of ordered Bratteli diagrams $B_{1}, \ldots, B_{n}$ such that $B_{1}=B, B_{n}=B^{\prime}$ and for each $1 \leq i<n$ one of the three
possibilities hold: either $B_{i}$ is isomorphic to $B_{i+1}$, or $B_{i+1}$ is a telescope of $B_{i}$, or $B_{i}$ is a telescope of $B_{i+1}$. In other words, equivalence of ordered Bratteli diagrams is the finest equivalence relations that preserves isomorphisms and telescoping.
Theorem 8.7 (Herman-Putnam-Skau HPS92, Theorem 4.5). Let $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$ be simple ordered Bratteli diagrams. Two Vershik maps $\phi_{1}=\phi_{B_{1}}$ and $\phi_{2}=\phi_{B_{2}}$ are conjugated if and only if $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$ are equivalent.

Proof. $\Leftarrow$ follows from Proposition 8.4 . We show $\Rightarrow$. There is no loss in generality to assume that $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$ are constructed from sequences of Kakutani-Rokhlin partitions $\Xi_{n}^{(1)}$ and $\Xi_{n}^{(2)}$ respectively. By passing to subsequences we may assume that $\Xi_{n+1}^{(1)}$ refines $\Xi_{n}^{(2)}$ and $\Xi_{n+1}^{(2)}$ refines $\Xi_{n}^{(1)}$ for each $n$. We define $\Xi_{n}^{(3)}$ by

$$
\Xi_{n}^{(3)}= \begin{cases}\Xi_{n}^{(1)} & \text { if } n \text { is even } \\ \Xi_{n}^{(2)} & \text { if } n \text { is odd }\end{cases}
$$

The sequence $\Xi_{n}^{(3)}$ satisfies all the items in the construction from Theorem 8.1, and we let $B_{3}$ be the diagram obtained from $\Xi_{n}^{(3)}$. Since $B_{3}$ is equivalent to the telescope of $B_{1}$ with respect to $(2 k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ and also to the telescope of $B_{2}$ with respect to $(2 k+1)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, we see that $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$ are equivalent.

## LECTURE 9

## Invariant means

## 1. Basic theory

Let $G$ be a discrete group acting on a countable set $X$. A mean is a linear functional $m \in \ell^{\infty}(X)^{*}$ such that $m(f) \geq 0$ for all $f \geq 0$, and $m(\mathbb{1})=1$. Means are in one-to-one correspondence with finitely additive probability measures on $X$. We shall let the context to explain whether we refer to a linear function or to a finitely additive measure. The set of means on $X$ is denoted by $\mathbb{M}(X)$. A mean $m \in \mathbb{M}(X)$ is said to be $G$-invariant if $m(g \circ f)=m(f)$ for all $f \in \ell^{\infty}(X)$ and all $g \in G$. Let $\mathbb{P}(X)$ be the set of all countably additive probability measures on $X$ :

$$
\mathbb{P}(X)=\left\{\mu \in \ell^{1}(X) \mid \mu \geq 0,\|\mu\|_{1}=1\right\} .
$$

We can naturally view $\mathbb{P}(X)$ as a subset of $\mathbb{M}(X)$.
Exercise 9.1. If $m$ is a mean on $X$, then for any $f \in \ell^{\infty}(X)$

$$
\inf f \leq m(f) \leq \sup f
$$

Lemma 9.2. $\overline{\mathbb{P}}(X)^{w *}=\mathbb{M}(X)$.
Proof. Since $\overline{\mathbb{P}(X)}{ }^{w *}$ is a convex closed subsets of $\ell^{\infty}(X)^{*}$, if $m_{0} \in \mathbb{M}(X) \backslash \overline{\mathbb{P}(X)}{ }^{w *}$, then by separation theorem we can find $f \in \ell^{\infty}(X)$ and $c>0$ such that $m_{0}(f) \geq c+m(f)$ for all $m \in \overline{\mathbb{P}}(X)^{w *}$. Since $\overline{\mathbb{P}(X)}{ }^{w *}$ includes all Dirac measures, we obtain

$$
m_{0}(f)>\sup \left\{m(f) \mid m \in \overline{\mathbb{P}}(X)^{w *}\right\} \geq \sup \{f(x) \mid x \in X\}
$$

whence $m_{0}$ is not a mean.
Corollary 9.3. Let $m \in \mathbb{M}(X)$ be a $G$-invariant mean. There exists a net $\mu_{n} \in \mathbb{P}(X)$ such that $\mu_{n} \xrightarrow{w *} m$ and $g \circ \mu_{n}-\mu_{n} \xrightarrow{w *} 0$ for all $g \in G$.
Lemma 9.4. Let $m \in \mathbb{M}(X)$ be a G-invariant mean. There exists a net $\mu_{n} \in \mathbb{P}(X)$ such that $\mu_{n} \xrightarrow{w *} m$ and $g \circ \mu_{n}-\mu_{n} \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|_{1}} 0$ for all $g \in G$.

Proof. Let $\nu_{n} \in \mathbb{P}(X)$ be such that $\nu_{n} \xrightarrow{w *} m$ and $g \circ \nu_{n}-\nu_{n} \xrightarrow{w *} 0$ for all $g \in G$. For each $g \in G$ we take a copy of $\ell^{1}(X)$, and form a locally convex topological vector space

$$
E=\prod_{g \in G} \ell^{1}(X)
$$

We have a map $T: \ell^{1}(X) \rightarrow E$ given by $T(\mu)(g)=g \circ \mu-\mu$. The weak topology on $E$ coincides with the product of weak topologies on factors. Since $g \circ \nu_{n}-\nu_{n} \xrightarrow{w *} 0$ for each $g \in G$, zero lies in the weak closure $\overline{T(\mathbb{P}(X))}$. Since $E$ is locally convex and $T(\mathbb{P}(X))$ is convex, the weak and strong closures coincide, hence there is some net $\left(\mu_{n}\right) \subseteq \mathbb{P}(X)$ such that $T\left(\mu_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ in $E$, which is equivalent to saying $\left\|g \circ \mu_{n}-\mu_{n}\right\|_{1} \rightarrow 0$ for all $g \in G$.

Definition 9.5. A group $G$ is said to be amenable if the action $G \curvearrowright G$ by left multiplication has an invariant mean.

Fact 9.6 (see, for example, Juschenko-Monod JM12, Lemma 3.2). If $G \curvearrowright X$ has an invariant mean and if stabilizers of all points are amenable subgroups of $G$, then $G$ itself is amenable.

## 2. Actions on finite subsets

If $G$ acts on a set $X$, then it also acts on $\mathcal{P}_{f}(X)$-the group of finite subsets of $X$ with symmetric difference as the group operation. Hence we get an action $\mathcal{P}_{f}(X) \rtimes G \curvearrowright \mathcal{P}_{f}(X)$. Fix a point $x_{0} \in X$ and let

$$
S_{x_{0}}=\left\{F \in \mathcal{P}_{f}(X) \mid x_{0} \in F\right\}
$$

For $E \in \mathcal{P}_{f}(X)$ let $\mathbb{1}_{E} \in L^{2}\left(\{0,1\}^{X}\right)$ be the function defined by

$$
\mathbb{1}_{E}(w)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } w(x)=0 \text { for all } x \in E \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

We write $\mathbb{1}_{x_{0}}$ for $\mathbb{1}_{\left\{x_{0}\right\}}$. If $\mu \in \mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{P}_{f}(X)\right)$ and $E \in \mathcal{P}_{f}(X)$, we also write $\mu(E)$ instead of $\mu(\{E\})$.
Lemma 9.7 (Juschenko-Monod [JM12], Lemma 3.1). Suppose that the action $G \curvearrowright X$ is transitive. In the above notations the following conditions are equivalent.
(i) There exists a $G$-almost invariant net $\left\{f_{n}\right\} \in L^{2}\left(\{0,1\}^{X}\right)$ such that

$$
\frac{\left\|f_{n} \cdot \mathbb{1}_{x_{0}}\right\|_{2}}{\left\|f_{n}\right\|_{2}} \rightarrow 1
$$

(ii) The action $\mathcal{P}_{f}(X) \rtimes G \curvearrowright \mathcal{P}_{f}(X)$ admits an invariant mean.
(iii) The action $G \curvearrowright \mathcal{P}_{f}(X)$ admits an invariant mean $m$ such that $m\left(S_{x_{0}}\right)=1 / 2$.
(iv) The action $G \curvearrowright \mathcal{P}_{f}(X)$ admits an invariant mean $m$ such that $m\left(S_{x_{0}}\right)=1$.

Proof. (i) $\Longrightarrow$ (iii) Let $f_{n}$ be a $G$-almost invariant net with $\frac{\left\|f_{n} \cdot \mathbb{1}_{x_{0}}\right\|_{2}}{\left\|f_{n}\right\|_{2}} \rightarrow 1$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $\left\|f_{n}\right\|_{2}=1$. Recall that a Fourier transform $\widehat{f}_{n} \in \ell^{2}\left(\mathcal{P}_{f}(X)\right)$ of $f_{n} \in L^{2}\left(\{0,1\}^{X}\right)$ is given by

$$
\widehat{f}_{n}(E)=\int_{\{0,1\}^{X}} f_{n}(w)(-w, E) d \lambda
$$

where

$$
(w, E)=\exp \left(i \pi \sum_{x \in E} w(x)\right)
$$

Note that every element in $\{0,1\}^{X}$ has order two, therefore $(-w, E)=(w, E)$. The Fourier transform $\widehat{f}_{n}$ gives $G$-almost invariant vectors in $\ell^{2}\left(\mathcal{P}_{f}(X)\right)$, since

$$
\left\|g \circ \widehat{f}_{n}-\widehat{f}_{n}\right\|_{2}=\|\left(g \circ f_{n}-f_{n} \widehat{)}\left\|_{2}=\right\| g \circ f_{n}-f_{n} \|_{2} .\right.
$$

We claim that $\widehat{f}_{n}$ are also $\left\{x_{0}\right\}$-almost invariant. Since $\left\|f_{n}\right\|_{2}=1$ and

$$
\frac{\left\|f_{n} \cdot \mathbb{1}_{x_{0}}\right\|_{2}}{\left\|f_{n}\right\|_{2}} \rightarrow 1
$$

we get $\left\|f_{n} \cdot\left(\mathbb{1}-\mathbb{1}_{x_{0}}\right)\right\|_{2} \rightarrow 0$. Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\left\{x_{0}\right\} \circ \widehat{f}_{n}-\widehat{f}_{n}\right\|_{2}^{2} & =\sum_{E \in \mathcal{P}_{f}(X)}\left|\int_{\{0,1\}^{X}} f_{n}(w)(w, E)\left(e^{i \pi w\left(x_{0}\right)}-1\right) d \lambda\right|^{2} \\
& =4 \sum_{E}\left|\int_{\{0,1\}^{X}} f_{n}(w)\left(\mathbb{1}-\mathbb{1}_{x_{0}}\right)(w)(w, E) d \lambda\right|^{2} \\
& =4 \sum_{E} \mid\left(\left.f_{n} \cdot\left(\mathbb{1}-\mathbb{1}_{x_{0}}\right) \widehat{)}(E)\right|^{2}\right. \\
& =4\left\|\left(f_{n} \cdot\left(\mathbb{1}-\mathbb{1}_{x_{0}}\right)\right) \widehat{l}\right\|_{2}^{2}=4\left\|f_{n} \cdot\left(\mathbb{1}-\mathbb{1}_{x_{0}}\right)\right\|_{2}^{2} \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $\widehat{f}_{n}$ is $\left\{x_{0}\right\}$-almost invariant. Since $G$ acts transitively on $X$, for any $y \in X$ there is $g \in G$ such that $g x_{0}=y$, hence $\widehat{f}_{n}$ is also $\{y\}$-almost invariant. Whence the net $\widehat{f}_{n}$ is actually $\mathcal{P}_{f}(X) \rtimes G$-almost invariant. By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|g \circ \widehat{f}_{n}^{2}-\widehat{f}_{n}^{2}\right\|_{1} & =\left\|\left(g \circ \widehat{f}_{n}-\widehat{f}_{n}\right)\left(g \circ \widehat{f}_{n}+\widehat{f}_{n}\right)\right\|_{1} \\
& \leq\left\|g \circ \widehat{f}_{n}-\widehat{f}_{n}\right\|_{2} \cdot\left\|g \circ \widehat{f}_{n}+\widehat{f}_{n}\right\|_{2} \\
& \leq 2\left\|g \circ \widehat{f}_{n}-\widehat{f}_{n}\right\|_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus the net $\widehat{f}_{n}^{2} \in \mathbb{P}(X)$ is $G$-almost invariant, and any of its $w^{*}$-limit points in $\mathbb{M}(X)$ is a $G$-invariant mean on $X$.
(iii) $\Longrightarrow$ (iii) Let $m$ be a $\mathcal{P}_{f}(X) \rtimes G$-invariant mean. Since $\left\{x_{0}\right\} \cdot S_{x_{0}}=\sim S_{x_{0}}$, we get

$$
m\left(S_{x_{0}}\right)=m\left(\left\{x_{0}\right\} \cdot S_{x_{0}}\right)=m\left(\sim S_{x_{0}}\right)=1 / 2
$$

(iii) $\Longrightarrow$ iv Let $m$ be a $G$-invariant mean such that $m\left(S_{x_{0}}\right)=1 / 2$. Repeating arguments of Lemmata 9.2 and 9.4 one shows that there exists a net $\mu_{n} \in \mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{P}_{f}(X)\right)$ such that $\mu_{n} \xrightarrow{w *} m, \mu_{n}\left(S_{x_{0}}\right)=1 / 2$, and $\left\|g \circ \mu_{n}-\mu_{n}\right\|_{1} \rightarrow 0$ for all $g \in G$.

Fix $k \geq 1$. Let $U: \mathcal{P}_{f}(X)^{k} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{f}(X)$ be the "union function:"

$$
U\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{k}\right)=\bigcup_{i} F_{i}
$$

Let $\mu_{n}^{(k)}=U_{*} \mu_{n}^{\times k}$ be the push-forward of $\mu_{n}^{\times k}$ to a measure on $\mathcal{P}_{f}(X)$ :

$$
\mu_{n}^{(k)}(A)=\mu_{n}^{\times k}\left(U^{-1}(A)\right)
$$

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu_{n}^{(k)}\left(S_{x_{0}}\right) & =\mu_{n}^{\times k}\left\{\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{k}\right) \mid \exists i x_{0} \in F_{i}\right\} \\
& =1-\mu_{n}^{\times k}\left\{\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{k}\right) \mid \forall i x_{0} \notin F_{i}\right\} \\
& =1-\mu_{n}^{\times k}\left(\sim S_{x_{0}} \times \cdots \times \sim S_{x_{0}}\right)=1-2^{-k} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The net $\mu_{n}^{(k)}$ is $G$-almost invariant, since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|g \circ \mu_{n}^{(k)}-\mu_{n}^{(k)}\right\|_{1}= & \sum_{E \in \mathcal{P}_{f}(X)}\left|\mu_{n}^{(k)}(g E)-\mu_{n}^{(k)}(E)\right| \\
= & \sum_{E}\left|\mu_{n}^{\times k}\left\{\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{k}\right) \mid \bigcup_{i} F_{i}=g E\right\}-\mu_{n}^{\times k}\left\{\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{k}\right) \mid \bigcup_{i} F_{i}=E\right\}\right| \\
= & \sum_{E}\left|\sum_{\substack{\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{k}\right) \\
\cup F_{i}=g E}} \prod_{j=1}^{k} \mu_{n}\left(F_{j}\right)-\sum_{\substack{\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{k}\right) \\
\cup F_{i}=E}} \prod_{j=1}^{k} \mu_{n}\left(F_{j}\right)\right| \\
= & \sum_{E}\left|\sum_{\substack{\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{k}\right) \\
\cup F_{i}=E}} \prod_{j=1}^{k} \mu_{n}\left(g F_{j}\right)-\sum_{\substack{\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{k}\right) \\
\cup F_{i}=E}} \prod_{j=1}^{k} \mu_{n}\left(F_{j}\right)\right| \\
\leq & \sum_{E} \sum_{\substack{\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{k}\right) \\
\cup F_{i}=E}}\left|\prod_{j=1}^{k} \mu_{n}\left(g F_{j}\right)-\prod_{j=1}^{k} \mu_{n}\left(F_{j}\right)\right| \\
= & \sum_{\substack{ \\
}}\left|\prod_{\left.F_{1}, \ldots, F_{k}\right)}^{k} \mu_{j=1}^{k}\left(g F_{j}\right)-\prod_{j=1}^{k} \mu_{n}\left(F_{j}\right)\right| \\
\leq & \sum_{j=1}^{k} \sum_{\substack{\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{k}\right)}} \mu_{n}\left(g F_{1}\right) \cdots \mu_{n}\left(g F_{j-1}\right)\left|\mu_{n}\left(g F_{j}\right)-\mu_{n}\left(F_{j}\right)\right| \mu_{n}\left(F_{j+1}\right) \cdots \mu_{n}\left(F_{k}\right) \\
= & k\left\|g \circ \mu_{n}-\mu_{n}\right\|_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $m_{k} \in \mathbb{M}\left(\mathcal{P}_{f}(X)\right)$ be a limit point of the net $\left(\mu_{n}^{(k)}\right)$. The mean $m_{k}$ is $G$-invariant and $m_{k}\left(S_{x_{0}}\right)=1-2^{-k}$. Let finally $\widetilde{m} \in \mathbb{M}\left(\mathcal{P}_{f}(X)\right)$ be any limit point of the sequence $m_{k}$. It is $G$-invariant and $\widetilde{m}\left(S_{x_{0}}\right)=1$.
(iv) $\Longrightarrow$ (i) Let $m$ be a $G$-invariant mean with $m\left(S_{x_{0}}\right)=1$. There exists a net $\mu_{n} \in \mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{P}_{f}(X)\right)$ such that $\mu_{n} \xrightarrow{w *} m,\left\|g \circ \mu_{n}-\mu_{n}\right\|_{1} \rightarrow 0$ for all $g \in G$, and $\mu_{n}\left(S_{x_{0}}\right)=1$. Set

$$
f_{n}=\sum_{F \in \mathcal{P}_{f}(X)} \mu_{n}(F) 2^{|F|} \mathbb{1}_{F}
$$

Since $\mu_{n}$ is supported on $S_{x_{0}}, f_{n} \cdot \mathbb{1}_{x_{0}}=f_{n}$. The norm $\left\|f_{n}\right\|_{1}=1$, since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|f_{n}\right\|_{1} & =\int\left|\sum_{F \in \mathcal{P}_{f}(X)} \mu_{n}(F) 2^{|F|} \mathbb{1}_{F}\right| d \lambda \\
& =\int \sum_{F} \mu_{n}(F) 2^{|F|} \mathbb{1}_{F} d \lambda \\
& =\sum_{F} 2^{|F|} \mu_{n}(F) \int \mathbb{1}_{F} d \lambda \\
& =\sum_{F} 2^{|F|} \mu_{n}(F) 2^{-|F|} d \lambda=1
\end{aligned}
$$

We claim that $\left\|g \circ f_{n}-f_{n}\right\|_{1} \leq\left\|g \circ \mu_{n}-\mu_{n}\right\|_{1}$. Indeed,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|g \circ f_{n}-f_{n}\right\|_{1} & =\int\left|\sum_{F \in \mathcal{P}_{f}(X)} \mu_{n}(F) 2^{|F|} \mathbb{1}_{g^{-1} F}-\sum_{F \in \mathcal{P}_{f}(X)} \mu_{n}(F) 2^{|F|^{\prime}} \mathbb{1}_{F}\right| d \lambda \\
& =\int\left|\sum_{F} \mu_{n}(g F) 2^{|F|} \mathbb{1}_{F}-\sum_{F} \mu_{n}(F) 2^{|F|} \mathbb{1}_{F}\right| d \lambda \\
& =\int\left|\sum_{F} 2^{|F|} \mathbb{1}_{F}\left(\mu_{n}(g F)-\mu_{n}(F)\right)\right| d \lambda \\
& \leq \sum_{F}\left|g \circ \mu_{n}-\mu_{n}\right|=\left\|g \circ \mu_{n}-\mu_{n}\right\|_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $f_{n}^{1 / 2} \in L^{2}\left(\{0,1\}^{X}\right)$ are as required, since

$$
\left\|g \circ f_{n}^{1 / 2}-f_{n}^{1 / 2}\right\|_{2}=\left(\int\left|g \circ f_{n}^{1 / 2}-f_{n}^{1 / 2}\right|^{2} d \lambda\right)^{1 / 2} \leq\left(\int\left|g \circ f_{n}-f_{n}\right| d \lambda\right)^{1 / 2}=\left\|g \circ f_{n}-f_{n}\right\|_{1}^{1 / 2}
$$

## LECTURE 10

## Amenability of topological full groups

Let $\phi \in \operatorname{Homeo}(X)$ be a minimal homeomorphism. Fix some $x \in X$. The orbit $\operatorname{Orb}_{\phi}(x)$ can naturally be identifies with the set of integers $\mathbb{Z}$, where $x$ corresponds to $0 \in \mathbb{Z}$. Via this identification we get an action of $\llbracket \phi \rrbracket$ on $\mathbb{Z}$. In other words, for any $x \in X$ we have a homomorphism $\pi_{x}: \llbracket \phi \rrbracket \rightarrow S(\mathbb{Z})$, where $S(\mathbb{Z})$ is the group of permutations of the integers. The images $\pi_{x}(g)$ are quite special, since they have bounded displacement. Let for $g \in S(\mathbb{Z})$

$$
|g|_{w}=\sup _{n \in \mathbb{Z}}|g(n)-n| \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{\infty\} .
$$

We say that $g \in S(\mathbb{Z})$ has bounded displacement if $|g|_{w}<\infty$. Such elements form a subgroup of $S(\mathbb{Z})$, which we denote by $W(\mathbb{Z})$. For any $x \in X, \pi_{x}(\llbracket \phi \rrbracket)<W(\mathbb{Z})$.

A subgroup $G<S(\mathbb{Z})$ is said to have ubiquitous pattern property if for every finite set $F \subseteq G$ and every $n \geq 1$ there exists $k=k(n, F)$ such that for every $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ there exists $t \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$
[t-n, t+n] \subseteq[j-k, j+k]
$$

and $g(i)+t=g(i+t)$ for every $g \in F$ and every $i \in[-n, n]$.
Lemma 10.1 (Juschenko-Monod JM12], Lemma 4.2). Let $\phi \in \operatorname{Homeo}(X)$ be a minimal homeomorphism and $x \in X$. The group $\pi_{x}(\llbracket \phi \rrbracket)$ has ubiquitous pattern property.

Proof. Suppose towards the contradiction that there exists a finite set $F \subseteq G$ and $n>0$ such that for any $k>n$ there exists $j_{k}$ such that for all $t$ with $[t-n, t+n] \subseteq\left[j_{k}-k, j_{k}+k\right]$ the action of $F$ on $[-n, n]$ is different from the its action on $[t-n, t+n]$. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be the common refinement of partitions $\left\{n_{g}^{-1}(k)\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ for $g \in F$. Given $y \in X$ and an interval of natural numbers $[t-n, t+n]$ let $\mathcal{Q}(y,[t-n, t+n])$ be the partition of $[-n, n]$ defined by identifying naturally $[-n, n]$ with $\left\{\phi^{i}(y)\right\}_{i \in[t-n, t+n]}$ and setting

$$
\mathcal{Q}(y,[t-n, t+n])=\mathcal{P} \cap\left\{\phi^{i}(y)\right\}_{i \in[t-n, t+n]} .
$$

For any $t$ with $[t-n, t+n] \subseteq\left[j_{k}-k, j_{k}+k\right]$ partitions $\mathcal{Q}(x,[-n, n])$ and $\mathcal{Q}(x,[t-n, t+n])$ are different. Define sets

$$
M_{k}=\{y \in X \mid \forall[t-n, t+n] \subseteq[-k, k] \mathcal{Q}(y,[t-n, t+n]) \neq \mathcal{Q}(x,[-n, n])\} .
$$

The sets $M_{k}$ are non-empty, closed, and $M_{k+1} \subseteq M_{k}$, therefore $M=\bigcap_{k} M_{k}$ is a non-empty closed subset of $X$. Since $\phi\left(M_{k}\right) \subseteq M_{k-1}$, the set $M$ is $\phi$-invariant. But $x \notin M$, contradicting the minimality of $\phi$.

Lemma 10.2 (Juschenko-Monod $\mathbf{J M 1 2}$, Lemma 4.1). If $G<W(\mathbb{Z})$ has ubiquitous patter property, then the stabilizer in $G$ of $E \triangle \mathbb{N}$ is locally finite for every $E \in \mathcal{P}_{f}(X)$.

Proof. Let $E \in \mathcal{P}_{f}(\mathbb{Z})$ and $F \subseteq \operatorname{Stab}_{G}(E \triangle \mathbb{N})$ be finite. Put $M=\max _{e \in E}|e|$ and $N=\max _{g \in F}|g|_{w}$. Let $k=k(M+2 N, F)$ be from the definition of the ubiquitous pattern property. Let for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$
I_{n}=[(2 n-1) k+n,(2 n+1) k+n]
$$

The intervals $I_{n}$ partition $\mathbb{Z}$. Let $E_{0}=(E \triangle \mathbb{N}) \cap[-M-2 N, M+2 N]$ and by the choice of $k$ we may find $E_{n} \subseteq I_{n}$ and $t_{n}$ such that $E_{n}=E_{0}+t_{n}$ and $g(s)+t_{n}=g\left(s+t_{n}\right)$ for all $g \in F$ and all $s \in E_{0}$ (see Figure 17). We define sets $B_{n}$ by

$$
B_{n}=E_{n} \cup\left(\left[\max \left(E_{n}\right)+1, \max \left(E_{n+1}\right)\right] \backslash E_{n+1}\right)
$$

Note that $\mathbb{Z}=\bigsqcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} B_{n}$, each $B_{n}$ is finite and $\left|B_{n}\right|<4 k+2$ for all $n$. We claim that sets $B_{n}$ are $g$-invariant for all $g \in F$. Fix $g \in F$. Since $g(E \triangle \mathbb{N})=E \triangle \mathbb{N}$, we get $g E_{0} \subseteq E \triangle \mathbb{N}$, hence max $E_{0}<\min \left(g E_{0} \backslash E_{0}\right)$ and therefore also

$$
\max E_{n}<\min \left(g E_{n} \backslash E_{n}\right) \quad \forall n
$$



Figure 17. Construction of intervals $I_{n}$, sets $E_{n}$ and $B_{n}$.

In other words, $g$ "sends points from $E_{n}$ to the right". Since $\left[\max E_{n}-|g|_{w}, \max E_{n}\right] \subseteq E_{n}$, it follows that $B_{n}$ is $g$-invariant.

Since cardinalities $\left|B_{n}\right|$ are uniformly bounded by $4 k+2$, we can view $F$ as a subsets of a power of a finite group, hence $F$ generates a finite group.

Let $f_{n}:\{0,1\}^{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow[0,1]$ be the following sequence of functions:

$$
f_{n}(w)=\exp \left(-n \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} w(j) e^{-|j| / n}\right)
$$

Fact 10.3 (Juschenko-Monod JM12, Theorem 2.1). The sequence $f_{n}$ satisfies conditions of item (i) of Lemma 9.7. Consequently, the action $W(\mathbb{Z}) \curvearrowright \mathbb{Z}$ has an invariant mean.

Theorem 10.4 (Juschenko-Monod JM12, Theorem A). Topological full groups of Cantor minimal systems are amenable.

Proof. Let $\phi$ be a minimal homeomorphism of a Cantor space $X$. For $x \in X$ we have an embedding $\pi_{x}: \llbracket \phi \rrbracket \rightarrow W(\mathbb{Z})$ and therefore by Fact 10.3 there is a $\mathcal{P}_{f}(\mathbb{Z}) \rtimes \pi_{x}(\llbracket \phi \rrbracket)$-invariant mean on $\mathcal{P}_{f}(\mathbb{Z})$. Consider the homomorphism $\xi: \llbracket \phi \rrbracket \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{f}(\mathbb{Z}) \rtimes \pi_{x}(\llbracket \phi \rrbracket)$

$$
\xi(g)=\left(\mathbb{N} \triangle \pi_{x}(g)(\mathbb{N}), \pi_{x}(g)\right)
$$

The homomorphism $\xi$ is injective and for any $E \in \mathcal{P}_{f}(X)$

$$
\xi(g)(E)=E \Longleftrightarrow \pi_{x}(g)(E \triangle \mathbb{N})=E \triangle \mathbb{N}
$$

In other words, the stabilizer of $E$ in $\xi(\llbracket \phi \rrbracket)$ is the stabilizer of $E \triangle \mathbb{N}$ in $\pi_{x}(\llbracket \phi \rrbracket)$. Thus the action $\xi(\llbracket \phi \rrbracket) \curvearrowright$ $\mathcal{P}_{f}(\mathbb{Z})$ has an invariant mean and by Lemma 10.2 stabilizers of all points are locally finite, hence amenable. Fact 9.6 finishes the proof.

## APPENDIX A

## Topological full groups of $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ actions

We present an example from $\mathbf{E M 1 3}$ of a $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ minimal action with a non-amenable topological full group.
Let $\Sigma$ denote the space of all proper edge-colourings of the grid $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ into six colours $\{a, b, c, d, e, f\}$. Denote by $\langle a\rangle$ the group with two elements $\{e, a\}$. Let $\left(w_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an enumeration of all the elements in the free product $\langle a\rangle *\langle b\rangle *\langle c\rangle$. Note that this free product contains a non-abelian free subgroup, hence is non-amenable. We pick a function $g: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ satisfying the following: for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$ there is $L>0$ such that any subinterval $I \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ of length $\geq L$ contains $n \in I$ with $g(n)=i$. For example, we may take

$$
g(n)= \begin{cases}i & |n|=2^{i} m, m \text { is odd } \\ 0 & n=0\end{cases}
$$

We construct an element $x \in \Sigma$ as follows. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ we take $w_{g(i)}$ and label edges with $w_{g(i)}^{-1} d$ upward starting from the zero level (Figure 18). We continue this labelling periodically and colour horizontal edges with $e$ and $f$ in a proper way.


Figure 18. Construction of $x, w_{g\left(n_{1}\right)}=w_{g\left(n_{2}\right)}=c a b a$.
$\mathbb{Z}$ acts on $\Sigma$ by shifting edges. With a letter $a$ we associate a homeomorphism $a: \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$ defined as follows. Let $y \in \Sigma$. If there is $v \in\{(0, \pm 1),( \pm 1,0)\}$ such that the edges starting from 0 in the direction of $v$ is coloured with $a$, we let $a(y)=y+v$. Otherwise we set $a(y)=y$. The homeomorphisms $a, b, c$ are in the topological full group of the shift. Let $M$ be any minimal subshift of $\mathrm{Orb}_{\mathbb{Z}^{2}}(x)$. The action of $\langle a\rangle *\langle b\rangle *\langle c\rangle$ on $M$ is faithful, hence the topological full group of the shift on $M$ is non-amenable.

## APPENDIX B

## Dimension groups

We start by recalling the definition of the direct system of groups. Let $\left(G_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of groups with homomorphisms $\xi_{n}: G_{n-1} \rightarrow G_{n}$. For $i<n$ we let

$$
\xi_{i n}=\xi_{n} \circ \cdots \circ \xi_{i+1} .
$$

The direct limit of $\left(G_{n}, \xi_{n}\right)$ is the disjoint union $\bigsqcup_{n} G_{n}$ modulo the equivalence relation $x_{m} \in G_{m}, x_{n} \in G_{n}$, $x_{m} \sim x_{n}$ if there is $N>m, n$ such that $\xi_{m N}\left(x_{m}\right)=\xi_{n N}\left(x_{n}\right)$. Group operations are defined in the obvious way.

Given a Bratteli diagram $B=(V, E)$ with $k_{n}=\left|V_{n}\right|$, we consider integer valued matrices $M_{n} \in$ $\mathcal{M}_{k_{n} \times k_{n-1}}$ defined by $M_{n}=\left(m_{i j}\right), m_{i j}=\left|P\left(v_{j}, v_{i}\right)\right|$, where $v_{j} \in V_{n-1}$ and $v_{i} \in V_{n}$. In other words, $m_{i j}$ is the number of edges between the $j^{\text {th }}$ vertex of $V_{n-1}$ and the $i^{\text {th }}$ vertex of $V_{n}$. For example, given the portion of Bratteli diagram in Figure 14 the corresponding matrices $M_{n}$ are

$$
M_{1}=\binom{4}{3}, \quad M_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
2 & 0 \\
1 & 1 \\
1 & 1
\end{array}\right), \quad M_{3}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

A matrix $M_{n}$ naturally defines a homomorphism $M_{n}: \mathbb{Z}^{k_{n-1}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{k_{n}}$ and therefore we have a direct system of Abelian groups

$$
\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{M_{1}} \mathbb{Z}^{k_{1}} \xrightarrow{M_{2}} \mathbb{Z}^{k_{2}} \xrightarrow{M_{3}} \cdots \xrightarrow{M_{n}} \mathbb{Z}^{k_{n}} \xrightarrow{M_{n+1}} \cdots
$$

The direct limit of this system is denoted by $\mathrm{K}(B)$. Each $\mathbb{Z}^{k_{n}}$ has a positive cone that consists of vectors with non-negative coordinates. The positive cones are preserved by homomorphisms $M_{n}$ and the direct limit of these cones is the positive cone $\mathrm{K}^{+}(B)$ in $\mathrm{K}(B)$. The dimension group of the Bratteli diagram $B$ is the triple $\left(\mathrm{K}(B), \mathrm{K}^{+}(B), \mathbb{1}\right)$, where $\mathbb{1} \in \mathrm{K}(B)$ is the element that corresponds to $1 \in \mathbb{Z}$.

With a homeomorphism $\phi \in \operatorname{Homeo}(X)$ we associate the group $\mathrm{K}_{0}(\phi)$ that is defined to be the quotient of Abelian groups

$$
\mathrm{K}_{0}(\phi)=C(X, \mathbb{Z}) / \partial_{\phi} C(X, \mathbb{Z})
$$

where $\partial_{\phi} C(X, \mathbb{Z})=\{f-f \circ \phi \mid f \in C(X, \mathbb{Z})\}$. This group also has a positive cone $\mathrm{K}_{0}^{+}(\phi)$, which is the image under the quotient map of the cone of non-negative functions. The dimension group of $\phi$ is the triple $\left(\mathrm{K}_{0}(\phi), \mathrm{K}_{0}^{+}(\phi), \mathbb{1}\right)$, where $\mathbb{1}$ corresponds to the constant one function on $X$.

Theorem B. 1 (Glasner-Weiss GW95], Theorem 5.1). Let $\phi \in \operatorname{Homeo}(X)$ be minimal. If $B=(V, E, \leq)$ is a simple ordered Bratteli diagram such that $\phi_{B}$ is conjugated to $\phi$, then $\left(\mathrm{K}(B), \mathrm{K}^{+}(B), \mathbb{1}\right)$ is isomorphic to $\left(\mathrm{K}_{0}(\phi), \mathrm{K}_{0}^{+}(\phi), \mathbb{1}\right)$.

Proof. Define a map $\zeta: C(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathrm{K}(B)$ as follows: given $f \in C(X, \mathbb{Z})$ choose an $n$ such that $V_{n}$ represents columns of a Kakutani-Rokhlin partition which is compactible with $f$, i.e., $\Xi_{n}$ is finer than $\left\{f^{-1}(k)\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$. Note that $f$ is also compatible with all partitions $\Xi_{m}, m \geq n$. We define $\widetilde{f}_{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^{k_{m}}$ by setting $\widetilde{f}_{m}(j)$ to be the sum of values of $f$ over all the levels of the $j^{\text {th }}$ tower $\mathcal{T}_{j}$ in $\Xi_{n}$. Since

$$
\tilde{f}_{m+1}(j)=\sum_{l}\left(M_{m+1}\right)_{j, l} \tilde{f}_{m}(l)=\left(M_{m+1} \tilde{f}_{m}\right)(j)
$$

the sequence $\left(\tilde{f}_{m}\right)$ defines an element $\zeta(f) \in \mathrm{K}(B)$. The map $\zeta$ is a homomorphism $\zeta: C(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathrm{K}(B)$.
If $f=g \circ \phi-g$ for some $g \in C(X, \mathbb{Z})$, then $\widetilde{f}_{m}(j)=g \circ \phi^{J_{j}^{(m)}}(x)-g(x)$ for some $x \in D^{(m)}(j, 0)$ in the base of the tower, where $J_{j}^{(m)}$ is the height of the $j^{t h}$ tower in $\Xi_{m}$. If $m$ is large enough, $g$ is compatible with $\Xi_{m}$ and is constant on its base. Since $\phi^{J_{j}^{(m)}}(x)$ is in the base, we get $\zeta(f)=0$, hence $\partial_{\phi} C(X, \mathbb{Z}) \subseteq \operatorname{ker} \zeta$.

Conversely, if $\zeta(f)=0$, there exists $m$ such that $\widetilde{f}_{m}=0$. We show that there is a function $g \in C(X, \mathbb{Z})$ such that $f=g \circ \phi-g$. We let $g$ be equal 0 on $D^{(m)}(j, 0)$ and $f(x)+f(\phi(x))+\cdots+f\left(\phi^{l-1}(x)\right)$ on $D^{(m)}(j, l)$, where $x$ is a point in $D^{(m)}(j, 0)$. Obviously $f=g \circ \phi-g$ everywhere, except possibly the top of the partition. For $x$ in the top level the equality follows from $g\left(\phi_{j}^{J_{j}^{(m)}} x\right)=0$ and $\widetilde{f}_{m}(j)=0$. Whence $\zeta: \mathrm{K}_{0}(\phi) \rightarrow \mathrm{K}(B)$ is a monomorphism.

If $d$ is an element in $\mathrm{K}(B)$, choose an $m$ such that $d$ can be represented as an element of $\mathbb{Z}^{k_{m}}$ and define $f$ on the corresponding partition as follows. For $x \in D^{(m)}(j, 0)$ set $f(x)=d(m, j)$, and 0 elsewhere. Then $\widetilde{f}(j)=d(m, j)$ and $\zeta$ is onto. It is easy to check that $\zeta\left(\mathrm{K}_{0}^{+}(\phi)\right)=\mathrm{K}^{+}(B)$ and $\zeta(\mathbb{1})=\mathbb{1}$.
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